

1 **DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.129>**

2

3 **Is haemodialysis the most feasible dialysis modality for Pakistan?**

4

5 **Salman Imtiaz, Ashar Alam**

6 Department of Nephrology. The Indus Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan

7 **Correspondence:** Salman Imtiaz. **Email:** salman_imtiaz@hotmail.com

8

9 **Abstract**

10 Chronic kidney disease (CKD) has shown a rising trend in the last two decades.
11 It is one of the most devastating diseases which has enormous psychosocial and
12 economic burden. The treatment available includes haemodialysis, peritoneal
13 dialysis and kidney transplantation. All treatment options have their pros and
14 cons, needs and preferences, though haemodialysis is one of the most available
15 entity in Pakistan. There are a few intrinsic problems associated with
16 haemodialysis which has significant environmental as well as economic impact.
17 One of the most important is the need of huge quantity of water to carry out the
18 haemodialysis procedure along with production of solid waste in the form of
19 disposables and electricity consumption. Peritoneal dialysis on the other hand is
20 more environment-friendly and cost-effective and it should be one of the
21 preferred options for our CKD patients.

22 **Keywords:** Pakistan, haemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, chronic kidney disease.

23

24 **Introduction**

25 Chronic kidney disease (CKD) was ranked 27th in the list of causes of total
26 worldwide deaths in 1990, but rose to 18th rank in 2010. The prevalence of End
27 Stage Renal Disease(ESRD) in Pakistan is reported to have increased from 5%
28 to 31% in different studies,⁽¹⁾ but recently in a population-based study, it was

29 reported to be around 100 per one million population.⁽²⁾ With the population of
30 220 million, this translates into 22,000 new patients requiring dialysis every
31 year. It not only has serious cost implications but also an impact on natural
32 resources such as water and electricity consumption, and also production of
33 solid wastes in the form of disposables. The CKD epidemiology and the risk
34 factors associated with it have not been studied thoroughly in Pakistan, as very
35 few hospital-based studies have been performed in the past. These studies
36 manifested that diabetes mellitus (DM) and hypertension (HTN) are the major
37 causes of CKD in urban areas, while CKD of unknown aetiology,
38 glomerulonephritis and kidney stones were prevalent in the rural areas.⁽³⁾ In this
39 article, we would like to review all the four major implications of haemodialysis
40 facilities.

41 Each dialysis machine can cater to six patients on a thrice weekly schedule with
42 three patients a day. The high prevalence of ESRD requires more and more
43 dialysis machines and increasing dialysis facilities every year in a country
44 where healthcare still has to be paid by the patients themselves and the
45 government is unable to spend even 1% of the GDP on healthcare. Even in
46 developed countries such as North America, although the cost is not borne by
47 the patients the overall cost of dialysis consumes a big chunk of the health
48 budget. For example, in 2013 it was 28% of the medical budget which
49 consumed around \$42 billion in that year.⁽⁴⁾ In Pakistan, a single session of
50 haemodialysis costs around Rs3,000 to 8,000, resulting in an average cost of
51 Rs550,000-700,000 thousand per year, while the reported average annual
52 income in Pakistan is around Rs320,000.⁽⁵⁾ Similarly, the annual cost incurred
53 by a 100-stationed haemodialysis facility, doing three dialysis a day will be
54 around Rs470 million. (Table 1).

55 Dialysis registry in Pakistan has not still been established appropriately.
56 According to the last published data by The Kidney Foundation of Pakistan in
57 2014, there were 5,935 patients on haemodialysis with 891 machines in the

58 country, but this data is quite limited with large dialysis facilities not
59 contributing their data to the foundation. Therefore, considering the prevalence
60 reported above, the annual cost of haemodialysis for 22,000 new patients every
61 year will be around Rs12-15 billion, which certainly the government cannot
62 afford, at least in the recent years to come. The cost of capital expenditure to
63 establish and equip these dialysis centres is in addition to this operating cost.

64 Other than the cost, there are other intrinsic problems of haemodialysis that
65 need to be acknowledged with respect to natural resources of our country.
66 Pakistan is facing water and electricity shortage and increasing environmental
67 pollution due to improper solid waste management. Dialysis not only consumes
68 huge amount of water and contaminate the existing water resources, but also
69 consume electricity and produces enormous amount of solid waste as dialysis
70 disposables.

71 In 1951, Pakistan had per capita water availability of 5,000 cubic metres which
72 sharply declined to around 1,038 cubic metres per capita today. The per capita
73 storage capacity in the United States stands at 6,150 cubic metres, in Australia
74 5,000 cubic metres, but in Pakistan it is just 132 cubic metres, which shows how
75 vulnerable 220 million Pakistanis are in terms of water availability. To carry out
76 haemodialysis, the water should be free from solutes and germs, and its
77 hardness is measured in terms of Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). Usually, the
78 supplied water or “Feed water” from various sources in our country has
79 hardness range from 700–2000 TDS (ref). To get the required solute and germ
80 free water of less than 10 TDS from this high TDS water, 60-80% of the feed
81 water needs to be rejected and thrown away. The “rejected water”, after
82 extraction of “pure water”, has higher concentration of solutes and higher TDS
83 and is usually discarded into sewerage lines. On the other hand, the pure water
84 after mixing with dialysis concentrates and passing through the dialysis
85 machines, undergoes the process of diffusion with blood on the other side of the
86 dialyzer, and on exit from the dialysis setup, contains waste products of the

87 body which is also discarded. One four-hour session of haemodialysis requires
88 at least 120 litres of pure water (consumption around 500 to 800 ml/min). With
89 a rate of 60% rejection, around 300 litres of feed water is required to generate
90 this amount of pure water with recommended hardness of less than 10 TDS.⁽⁶⁾
91 This means that around 180 litres of feed water with high concentration of
92 solutes is discarded before the dialysis, while 120 litres of water containing
93 body waste products is drained after dialysis. The utility of both types of water
94 is questionable and there are no clear recommendations for use in gardening,
95 flush tanks, etc. due to high concentration of solutes. The rejected water in RO
96 unit cannot be subjected to recirculation through RO as this will increase the
97 hardness of pre-treatment feed water and will have negative impact on RO
98 membranes, shortening their life-span.

99 Water wastage is further enhanced by use of reprocessing machines for
100 dialyzers reuse. Although dialyzer manufacturers recommend 'single use', re-
101 use is widely accepted if reprocessing is done under standardised practice
102 guidelines like those delineated by American Association of Medical
103 Instrumentation (AAMI). The developing countries have to rely much on this
104 reprocessing to mitigate the cost, provided dialyzers with biocompatible
105 membranes are used. The mechanism of reprocessing also requires around 25
106 litres of pure water per dialyzer, and if the raw water required to generate this
107 pure water is also included, the total quantity of water required is around 65
108 litres per dialyzer for its cleaning and disinfection. Subsequently, the calculated
109 requirement and thereafter wastage of water per dialysis session including
110 reprocessing of the dialyzer is around 350 litres per dialysis session. If we
111 calculate the annual consumption of water used in four-hour session thrice
112 weekly on hundred dialysis machines, it will be around 33 million litres or 8.6
113 million gallons. (Table no 1).

114 The entire dialysis process requires electricity: for haemodialysis machines, for
115 RO and for dialyzer reprocessing. If we look at the total capacity of electricity

116 and sources of production of electricity in Pakistan we will note that we are
117 unable to cope with the demand, and most parts of our country already face
118 hours of load-shedding. Total existing installed power capacity as of March,
119 2019 was 34,282 MW, although electricity generation varies according to
120 availability of inputs and other constraints, the generation increased to 84,680
121 GW/h this year. Around 68.4% of this electricity is generated from thermal
122 energy, i.e. by burning coal, which is a large source of CO₂ which causes global
123 warming⁽⁷⁾ (each KW of electricity produces 16.44 pound of CO₂). Total
124 electricity consumption in a dialysis facility per hour is around 480 kw (Booster
125 pump 90 kw/h, multistage pump 132 kw/h, repressurise pump 90 kw/h, dialysis
126 machine 120 kw/h, dialyzer reprocessor 7.4 kw/h). Similarly, if we extrapolate
127 this 480 Kw/h into annual consumption of four-hour session three times a day, it
128 will be 180 Gigawatt Megawatt. (Table no 1). Total CO₂ production in running
129 a dialysis facility for one hour is 31,891 pounds (480x66.44). (Table no 1)
130 Solid waste production is around 2.7 kg per dialysis session, depending if
131 dialyzer reuse facility is present or not, translating into 253,530 kg of solid
132 waste produced by 100 machines if used for three sessions a day.
133 Peritoneal dialysis (PD) on the other hand, is a home-based treatment, the
134 efficacy of which is equal to haemodialysis and rather has more advantages in
135 terms of solute clearance, water and energy consumption and waste production
136 as compared to haemodialysis. Based on a simpler technique of infusing
137 dialysate into the peritoneal cavity through a tunnelled cuffed catheter, and
138 using peritoneal membrane as natural dialyzer, the cost of PD is significantly
139 low as compared with HD, so it should be highly recommended for resource-
140 constrained countries of the developing world. In his cross sectional study,
141 Atapour et al compared the financial burden of the two groups of HD and PD in
142 various parameters, such as cost of dialysis session, diagnostic test, drugs,
143 hospitalisation, physician visit, dialysis centre visit, intravenous iron and

144 Erythropoietin and number of staff needed to carry out the procedure. He found
145 that PD is significantly low cost as compared to HD.⁽⁸⁾

146 The better quality of life is the goal of all treatments. Health-related quality of
147 life (HRQL) was assessed prospectively in 989 patients who underwent HD or
148 PD in a nationwide Chinese population by Jung and Jeon. They analysed the
149 patients at 3, 12 and 24 months. They concluded a better HRQL for PD in the
150 initial period with the effect lasting for two years.⁽⁹⁾ There is no electricity
151 consumption for continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD), and water
152 consumption is not more than 10 to 12 litres in a day, with four bags as
153 disposables. Even if a cyclor is used to infuse and drain fluid — a process called
154 Automated Peritoneal Dialysis (APD) or Chronic Cyclor Peritoneal Dialysis
155 (CCPD) — the cost of cyclor is too little as compared to haemodialysis
156 machine. Moreover, electricity consumption by the cyclor is also negligible.
157 Therefore, we conclude that for a developing country like ours, with resource
158 constraints and where healthcare has to be paid mostly by the public and not by
159 the government, the options for peritoneal dialysis must be explored on a
160 national level. With appropriate planning and availability of required
161 consumables, similar or even better outcomes can be expected with peritoneal
162 dialysis at a lower cost and less utilisation of natural resources.

163

164 **Disclaimer:** None to declare.

165 **Conflict of Interest:** None to declare.

166 **Funding Sources:** None to declare.

167

168 **References**

- 169 1. Imtiaz S, Salman B, Qureshi R, Drohlia MF, Ahmad A. A review of the
170 epidemiology of chronic kidney disease in Pakistan: A global and
171 regional perspective. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2018;29:1441-51.

- 172 2. Ullah K, Butt G, Masroor I, Kanwal K, Kifayat F. Epidemiology of
173 chronic kidney disease in a Pakistani population. Saudi J Kidney Dis
174 Transpl 2015; 26:1307-10.
- 175 3. Salman B, Imtiaz S, Qureshi R, Dhroliya MF, Ahmad A. The causes of
176 chronic kidney disease in adults in a developing country. J Nephrol Ren
177 Dis 2017;1:1. DOI: 10.4172/2576-3962.1000105
- 178 4. Honeycutt AA, Segel JE, Zhuo X, Hoerger TJ, Imai K, Williams D:
179 Medical costs of CKD in the Medicare population. J Am Soc Nephrol
180 2013 24: 1478–1483.
- 181 5. Pakistan GDP per capita 2010-18. Trading Economics. 2020. Available
182 from <https://tradingeconomics.com/pakistan/gdp-per-capita> accessed on
183 20-04-2020.
- 184 6. Kasparek T, Rodriguez OE. What Medical Directors Need to Know
185 about Dialysis Facility Water Management. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2015
186 10: 1061–1071,. doi: 10.2215/CJN.11851214
- 187 7. Pakistan economic survey 2017-2018. Energy, chapter 14 page 210-
188 218.www.finance.gov.pk.
- 189 8. AtapourA, Eshaghian A, Taheri D, Dolatkhah S .Hemodialysis Versus
190 Peritoneal Dialysis, Which is Cost-effective? . Saudi J Kidney Dis
191 Transpl 2015; 26 (5):962-965.
- 192 9. Jung H-Y, Jeon Y, Park Y, Kim Y-S, Kang SW, Yang CW, et al .Better
193 Quality of Life of Peritoneal Dialysis compared to Haemodialysis over a
194 Two-year Period after Dialysis Initiation.. Nature scientific report 2019
195 9:10266

196

197 -----

198

199

200

201 **Table 1: Showing calculation of different domains of haemodialysis,**
 202 **transplantation and peritoneal dialysis utilized annually**

| S.No | Modality | Calculation for one year (313 days), 100 machines and 3 sessions per day. | Peritoneal dialysis Cost |
|------|--|--|--------------------------|
| 1 | Cost in Rupees (PKR). 3000 to 8000 per session average cost 5000 | $5000 \times 3 \times 100 \times 313 = 469,500,000$ PKRs. Per month cost per person. $4500 \times 12 = 54,000$ | 30,000 to 35,000 month |
| 2 | Water consumption. 350 litre in one session | $500 \times 3 \times 100 \times 313 = 32,865,000$ litre or 8,682,014 gallons | |
| 3 | Electricity consumption. 480 Kw per hour for 12 hrs. | $480 \times 12 \times 100 \times 313 = 180,288,000$ Kilo Watt or 180,288 Mega Watt or 180 Giga Watt | |
| 4 | Solid waste production. 2.7 Kg in one session | $2.7 \times 3 \times 100 \times 313 = 253,530$ kg | |
| 5 | CO ₂ production. 66.44 pounds per Kw electricity generation. | $480 \times 12 \times 100 \times 66.44 \times 313 = 11,978,334,720$ pounds | |

203