

1 **DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.435>**

2
3 **Association of presence and severity of Obstructive sleep apnea**
4 **syndrome with accident risk in city bus drivers**

5
6 **Hakan Celikhisar¹, Gulay Dasedemir Ilkhan²**

7 **1** Department of Chest Diseases, Esrefpaşa Municipality Hospital, Izmir, Turkey;

8 **2** Department of Chest Diseases, Okmeydanı Education Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

9 **Correspondence:** Hakan Celikhisar. **Email:** hcelikhisar@gmail.com

10
11 **Abstract**

12 **Objective:** To determine the relationship between frequency of traffic accidents
13 and presence and severity of the disease in bus drivers who are at risk of having
14 obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS).

15 **Method:** In the present study, polysomnography (PSG) was applied on 162 city
16 bus drivers directed to the sleep laboratory from a total of 1450 drivers after
17 being determined as risky with regard to OSAS symptoms according to the
18 questionnaire results. Their demographic characteristics, health status and
19 accidents were compiled. Statistical analyses were made for those diagnosed
20 with OSAS according to the PSG result and those with a traffic accident after
21 which comparisons were made.

22 **Results:** Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome was detected in 127 out of the 162
23 drivers determined to be risky with regard to OSAS based on the Berlin
24 questionnaire result. While 35% of the drivers were normal according to the
25 polysomnography (PSG) results in the study, 39 (24.1%) were determined as
26 light OSAS, 35 (21.6%) as moderate OSAS and 53 (32.7%) as severe OSAS.
27 While 105 (64.8%) of the cases had no accident, 37 (22.8%) were almost
28 involved in an accident due to sleepiness and 20 (12.3%) were actually involved

29 in an accident. A statistically significant relationship was determined between
30 accident rates and OSAS severity ($p:0.009$; $p<0.05$).

31 **Conclusion:** It was concluded that presence and increased severity of OSAS is
32 an important risk factor for being almost or directly involved in an accident
33 among city bus drivers, even though they were not driving for long distances.

34 **Keywords:** Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome (OSAS), Bus Drivers, Traffic
35 Accident

37 **Introduction**

38 Sleep is temporary, partial and periodic loss of communication of organism with
39 environment in a reversible manner due to stimulants at varying intensities. This
40 is the period for preparing for a new day during which the body rests, cell repair
41 and renewal takes place in addition to the completion of learning by way of
42 arranging memory functions. A normal sleep is an indispensable factor for a
43 healthy life (1,2).

44 Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome (OSAS) is characterized by repeated
45 collapse of upper respiratory tract during sleep, nocturnal hypoxemia and
46 interrupted sleep (1). It is one of the most frequent among sleep disorders.
47 OSAS prevalence has been determined as 3-7% for males and 2-5% for females
48 as revealed from a systematic review (2).

49 The most common night symptom of OSAS is snoring, while the day symptom
50 is excessive sleepiness (3-5). The most important risk factors of OSAS are
51 indicated as male gender, advanced age, neck circumference and obesity (6,7).

52 Various questionnaires are used for identifying risky groups and Berlin
53 questionnaire is one of these arranged for community screenings. There are a
54 total of 10 questions in 3 categories. Positive results in 2 or more categories
55 indicate that the participant is high risk.

56 Polysomnography (PSG) is the golden standard in OSAS diagnosis and
57 treatment selection (4). OSAS has been classified into 3 different classes as light

58 OSAS (AHI = 5-15), moderate OSAS (AHI = 15-30) and severe OSAS (AHI >
59 30) according to apnea hypopnea index (AHI) in accordance with the American
60 Sleep Disorders Association (4). Continuous positive air pressure (CPAP) is the
61 standard treatment for OSAS (8,9).

62 Even though the nighttime symptoms of OSAS are generally ignored by the
63 patient, its daytime symptoms are generally quite striking. Daytime excessive
64 sleepiness may be so severe that it affects work performance and prevents
65 driving a vehicle in traffic thereby increasing traffic accident risks (3).

66 In this study, we aimed to determine the relationship between frequency of
67 traffic accidents and severity of the disease in bus drivers who are at risk of
68 having OSAS. By this way, if there is an association, we wanted to emphasize
69 that the risks of death, loss of manpower and financial loss related with the
70 traffic accidents may be reduced for individuals diagnosed with OSAS since it
71 is a treatable disease.

72

73 **Subjects and Methods**

74 The minimum sample numbers required for the test to be 0.80 (80%) with an
75 estimated OSAS prevalence of 5% in normal population, were calculated with
76 "G Power(3.1 version)" program. Regarding these results, the minimum number
77 of samples required for the test was calculated and the highest value was
78 determined as 159. Based on this, the number of samples in the study was
79 determined to be 162.

80 The study was approved by the local ethics committee and informed consent
81 was obtained from the study participants.

82 The present study was planned as a retrospective study at the Eşrefpaşa State
83 Hospital with approval from Metropolitan Municipality. A total of 162 drivers
84 were included in the study who have been directed to the sleep laboratory of our
85 hospital after being identified as risky with regard to obstructive sleep apnea
86 syndrome (OSAS) symptoms according to the Berlin questionnaire results.

87 Signed consent forms were obtained from all participants. Berlin questionnaire
88 comprised of a total of 10 questions and 3 categories was applied on all
89 participants for evaluating the level of excessive daytime sleepiness, those who
90 responded as “yes” to two or more of the three categories were evaluated to
91 have high OSAS risk. All bus drivers considered as having high risk for OSAS;
92 who underwent a polysomnographic evaluation and who agreed to participate in
93 the study were included in the study. Bus drivers who regret to participate in the
94 study, who regret to undergo polysomnographic evaluation and bus-drivers with
95 missing data were excluded in the study.

96 All drivers examined in our hospital during February 2015 and June 2017, were
97 male. Demographic characteristics of each participant were recorded such as
98 age, weight, height, body mass index, neck circumference, waist to hip ratio,
99 alcohol and cigarette use and medical history. In addition, the traffic accidents
100 they have been involved in were also recorded based on the official
101 municipality records.

102 All patients included in our study were monitored all night by a trained sleep
103 technician via polysomnography (PSG) device at our sleep center. At least 6
104 hours of PSG recordings were acquired. PSG was carried out in accordance
105 with the American Academy of Sleep Medicine Classification criteria (1).

106 G Power (3.1 version) software was used in order to determine enough sample
107 of sizes for statistical testing (10,11). IBM SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM SPSS,
108 Turkey) software was used for statistical analyses when evaluating the findings
109 of the study. Shapiro Wilks test was used for evaluating the accordance of
110 parameters with normal distribution. In addition to descriptive statistical
111 methods (Mean, Standard deviation, frequency), One-way Anova test was used
112 for the comparison of quantitative data as well as Tukey HDS test and
113 Tamhane’s T2 test for the intergroup comparison of parameters with normal
114 distribution and determination of the group that causes the difference when
115 evaluating the study data. Kruskal Wallis test was used for carrying out

116 intergroup comparisons of parameters without normal distribution. Whereas Chi
117 Square test and Fisher Freeman Halton test were used for comparing qualitative
118 data. Level of significance was evaluated as $p < 0.05$.

119

120 **Results**

121 The study was carried out during February 2015 and June 2017 on a total of 162
122 male drivers with ages varying between 32 and 55 years. The average age of the
123 drivers was 42.07 ± 5.54 years. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome was detected
124 in 127 (78.4%) out of 162 drivers who were defined as having high risk, based
125 on the Berlin questionnaire result. While 35% of the drivers were having normal
126 PSG results in the study, 39 (24.1%) were determined as having mild OSAS, 35
127 (21.6%) as having moderate OSAS and 53 (32.7%) were having severe OSAS.

128 While 105 (64.8%) of the cases were not involved in any accident, 37 (22.8%)
129 were almost involved in an accident due to sleepiness and 20 (12.3%) have been
130 involved in an accident.

131 Demographic features and Epworth scores among OSAS classification groups
132 and among patients with different accidents are summarized in tables 1 and 2,
133 respectively.

134 There was not any statistically significant difference observed between the
135 OSAS classifications with regard to age and Epworth values ($p > 0.05$) (Table 1).

136 The BMI values of those without OSAS were determined to be lower at a
137 statistically significant level in comparison with BMI values of those with
138 moderate and severe OSAS ($p_1: 0.032$; $p_2: 0.0001$, respectively). The BMI values

139 of those with severe OSAS were determined to be higher at a statistically
140 significant level in comparison with BMI values of those with mild and
141 moderate OSAS ($p_1: 0.004$; $p_2: 0.017$, respectively). No statistically significant

142 difference was observed between other OSAS classifications with regards to
143 BMI values ($p > 0.05$). The neck circumference values of those without OSAS

144 were determined to be lower at a statistically significant level in comparison

145 with that of the study participants with moderate and severe OSAS($p_1:0.019$;
146 $p_2:0.0001$, respectively). Neck circumference values of those with severe OSAS
147 were determined to be higher at a statistically significant level in comparison
148 with neck circumference values of those with mild OSAS ($p<0.0001$). No
149 statistically significant difference was observed between other OSAS
150 classifications with regards to neck circumference values ($p>0.05$). Waist/hip
151 ratio of individuals with mild OSAS was determined to be lower at a
152 statistically significant level in comparison with waist/hip ratio of individuals
153 with moderate and severe OSAS ($p_1:0.046$; $p_2:0.004$). No statistically significant
154 difference was observed between other OSAS classifications with regards to
155 waist/hip ratios ($p>0.05$). No statistically significant difference was observed
156 between OSAS classifications with regards to alcohol and cigarette use
157 ($p>0.05$).

158 There was no statistically significant difference observed between the patients
159 with different accidents with regards to age, BMI, waist/hip ratio and Epworth
160 values ($p>0.05$) (Table 2). A statistically significant difference was observed
161 between accidents with regards to neck circumference values ($p:0.004$). The
162 neck circumference values of those who were not involved in an accident were
163 observed to be lower at a statistically significant level in comparison with neck
164 circumference values of those who have been involved in an accident ($p:0.003$).
165 There was no statistically significant difference observed between accident
166 statuses with regards to alcohol and cigarette usage habits ($p>0.05$).

167 Regarding PSG findings, snoring+apnea prevalence for those without OSAS
168 (17.1%) was determined to be lower at a statistically significant level in
169 comparison with mild (53.8%), moderate (45.7%) and severe (58.5%) OSAS
170 cases ($p_1:0.002$; $p_2:0.020$; $p_3:0.000$, respectively). There were no statistically
171 significant differences between different OSAS classes with regards to
172 snoring+apnea prevalence ($p>0.05$). There was also no statistically significant
173 difference between different OSAS classes with regards to snoring, EDS,

174 snoring+EDS, apnea+EDS and snoring+apnea+EDS prevalence ($p>0.05$).
175 Regarding PSG findings among patients with different accidents; apnea
176 prevalence in those who have not been involved in any accident (38.1%) was
177 observed to be lower at a statistically significant level in comparison to those
178 who were almost involved in an accident due to sleepiness (62.2%) and those
179 who were involved in an accident (65%) ($p_1:0.019$; $p_2:0.047$, respectively). No
180 statistically significant difference with regard to apnea prevalence was observed
181 between those who were almost involved in an accident due to sleepiness and
182 those who have been involved in an accident ($p>0.05$). The snoring+apnea
183 prevalence in those who have not been involved in any accident (36.2%) was
184 observed to be lower at a statistically significant level in comparison to those
185 who were almost involved in an accident (62.2%) and those who have been
186 involved in an accident (65%) ($p_1:0.011$; $p_2:0.031$, respectively). There was no
187 statistically significant difference regarding snoring+apnea prevalence between
188 those who have almost been involved in an accident due to sleepiness and those
189 who have been involved in an accident ($p>0.05$).
190 There was a statistically significant difference between the OSAS classifications
191 with regards to accident status ($p<0.0001$) (Table 3). The ratio of being almost
192 involved in an accident due to sleepiness 0 (0%) for drivers without OSAS was
193 determined to be lower at a statistically significant level in comparison to
194 drivers with mild 6 (15.4%), moderate 10 (28.6%) and severe 21 (39.6%) OSAS
195 ($p_1:0.026$; $p_2:0.0001$; $p_3:0.0001$, respectively). The ratio of being involved in an
196 accident for drivers with severe OSAS 18 (34%) was determined to be higher at
197 a statistically significant level in comparison to those of drivers with mild 0(0%)
198 and moderate 2 (5.7%) OSAS ($p:0.0001$). No statistically significant difference
199 was determined between the drivers with mild and moderate OSAS with regards
200 to distribution ratios for being involved in an accident ($p>0.05$) (Table 3).
201
202

203 **Discussion**

204 In this study, we determined that in bus drivers with a high risk for OSAS, there
205 was a significant increase in ratios of being almost involved or directly involved
206 in an accident due to sleepiness with presence and increased severity of OSAS.

207 Traffic accidents and loss of lives and property due to traffic accidents are
208 among the most important issues for our country and they occur most frequently
209 due to daytime sleepiness resulting from sleepless driving (12). Wariness,
210 distraction and cognitive inadequacies due to short and low quality sleep
211 increases the risk of being involved in an accident and hence patients with
212 OSAS are more frequently involved in accidents in comparison to normal
213 population. Studies on this subject have generally been carried out on long
214 distance drivers subject to less stimulants and uniform driving conditions,
215 whereas the number of studies carried out with participation of city bus drivers
216 is quite limited. Majority of these studies have focused on questionnaires with
217 questionnaire results based on subjective data (13, 14). It has been put forth as a
218 result of various studies on long distance drivers that there is a positive
219 correlation between daytime excessive sleepiness and accidents (15-18). We
220 assumed in our study that loss of concentration and sleepiness might not be as
221 effective on city bus drivers as they are on long distance drivers due to city
222 traffic with high amount of stimulants, turns and stops. However, we also
223 determined an increased prevalence of accidents in patients with OSAS and
224 with an increase in severity of OSAS.

225 The drivers determined to have high OSAS risk according to the Berlin
226 questionnaire results in our study were evaluated via PSG test results carried out
227 in our sleep laboratory. The present study is among those carried out with the
228 largest sample group in our country with the participation of professional
229 drivers and the largest in our country for evaluating the relationship between
230 sleep apnea syndrome and traffic accidents by PSG results. Even though it is a
231 fact that working with objective data would yield more accurate results, the

232 difficulties related with carrying out a PSG study for each participant might be a
233 distinctive reason for continuing with questionnaires. Subjective responses
234 could be acquired since cases such as snoring and apnea examined with regards
235 to OSAS are among symptoms that individuals cannot detect by themselves. On
236 the other hand, there were also cases when the participants do not state the
237 realities due to concerns related with work and financial issues. Results based
238 on questions might also be misleading during work applications or health
239 controls because of similar concerns. Hence, OSAS symptom questionnaires
240 might not be sufficient and the unidentified OSAS cases might in turn be
241 reflected to the society as delay in diagnosis and treatment, as well as, accidents
242 and related outcomes of these accidents. Based on this reality, some European
243 Union countries do not give driving licenses to OSAS patients on grounds that
244 they are not healthy drivers (19). In this regard, various arrangements related
245 with sleep disorders have been made in our country in 2006 for driver
246 candidates and those who apply for a professional driver's license; however, it
247 is necessary to apply and support them (20, 21).

248 Epworth sleepiness test is a questionnaire used for determining daytime
249 sleepiness. The Epworth score for majority of the participants in our study
250 including those who defined excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) was below 10
251 which led us to think that the Epworth sleepiness test might be insufficient for
252 identifying EDS. On the other hand, work and financial concerns of our patients
253 might lead to misleading results. It was observed in studies carried out on
254 drivers with OSAS that their risk of being involved in an accident is about seven
255 times greater in comparison with normal healthy individuals (22). Young et al.
256 carried out a study in which it was determined that the accident risk increased
257 with increasing Apnea Hypopnea Index (AHI) (23). Similarly, a statistically
258 significant relationship was determined between accident risk and the presence
259 of the disease in another study carried out by Teran-Santos et al. (24). Parallel to
260 the findings of our study, it was also reported in the same study that when

261 evaluations were carried out on drivers with OSAS subject to disease severity,
262 accident risk is much greater for those with severe OSAS and that accident risk
263 increases with increasing disease severity. The accident rates in our study were;
264 73.6% for severe OSAS, 34.3% for moderate OSAS and 15.4% for mild OSAS.
265 These ratios indicated a statistically significant and positive correlation between
266 OSAS severity and accident frequency. On the other hand, no accident was
267 observed in healthy drivers who were not identified with OSAS based on the
268 PSG result, but who were determined to be in the high risk group according to
269 the Berlin Questionnaire result.

270 With regards to demographic data in our study; a statistically significant
271 relationship was observed between body mass index (BMI), neck
272 circumference, waist/hip ratio and OSAS presence as well as between neck
273 circumference and accident frequency. Similarly, Amra et al. carried out a study
274 on accident risk factors and apnea symptoms as a result of which the neck
275 circumference was determined as a demographic factor that increases accident
276 risk. A statistically significant difference was observed in the same study
277 between accident status and ratios for apnea and accompanying snoring (25).
278 Snoring alone, as well as snoring accompanied by apnea, were both determined
279 to be statistically significant with regards to accident risk in our study.

280 There are some limitations of this study that should be mentioned. First is the
281 retrospective design of the study. Secondly, we included only bus drivers with
282 high risk for OSAS; since the study was based on PSG findings and performing
283 PSG in patients with low risk might not be acceptable. Due to the retrospective
284 design and low number of patients, the generalisability of the study results was
285 not high. However, obtained PSG results were the main power of the study and
286 we believe that, this study will shed light for future studies.

287

288 **Conclusion**

289 We concluded that presence and increased severity of OSAS is an important risk

290 factor for being almost or directly involved in an accident on city bus drivers,
291 even though they are not driving for long distances. When the morbidities and
292 mortalities related with traffic accidents are taken into consideration along with
293 the financial losses that incur as a result of such accidents, it is a necessity to
294 question the OSAS symptoms of professional drivers such as public city bus
295 drivers during and after the certification stage in addition to apply PSG on
296 drivers determined to be highly risky. It could thus be concluded that routine
297 PSG might be required for occupation groups such as professional drivers who
298 carry the responsibility of many lives throughout the day while it could also be
299 concluded that it might be necessary to increase the number of sleep centers.

300

301 **Disclaimer:** None to declared.

302 **Conflict of Interest:** None to declared.

303 **Funding disclosure:** None to declared.

304

305 **References**

- 306 1. Medicine AAsS. International classification of sleep disorders 3rd Ed. 2014.
- 307 2. Laratta CR, Ayas NT, Povitz M, Pendharkar SR. Diagnosis and treatment of
308 obstructive sleep apnea in adults. CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association
309 Journal. 2017;189(48): E1481-E1488.
- 310 3. Hongyo K, Ito N, Yamamoto K, Yasunobe Y, Takeda M, Oguro R, et al.
311 Factors associated with the severity of obstructive sleep apnea in older
312 adults. Kacem I, Kalboussi H, Ben Salem H, Maoua M, El Guedri S,
313 Laayouni M, Abdelghani A, Boughattas W, Brahem A, Debbabi F, El Maalel
314 O, Chatti S, Benzarti M, Mrizak N. Quality of life in adult patient (Tunisian)
315 with severe OSA. Rev Pneumol Clin. 2017 Sep;73(4):163-171.
- 316 4. Foldvary-Schaefer NR, Waters TE. Sleep-disordered breathing.
317 CONTINUUM: Lifelong Learning in Neurology. 2017;23(4):1093-1116.

- 318 5. Hussain SF, Cloonan YK, Rahbar MH, Islam M. Association of self-reported
319 nasal blockage with sleep-disordered breathing and excessive daytime
320 sleepiness in Pakistani employed adults. *Sleep Breath*. 2010 Dec;14(4):345-
321 51.
- 322 6. Hussain SF, Cloonan YK, Islam M, Rahbar MH. Prevalence and associated
323 risk factors of sleep-disordered breathing symptoms in young and middle-
324 aged Pakistani employed adults. *Sleep Breath*. 2010 Jun;14(2):137-44.
- 325 7. Kacem I, Kalboussi H, Ben HS, Maoua M, El SG, Laayouni M, et al.
326 Quality of life in adult patient (Tunisian) with severe OSA. *Revue de*
327 *pneumologie clinique*. 2017;73(4):163-171.
- 328 8. Freedman N. Treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: choosing the best
329 positive airway pressure device. *Sleep medicine clinics*. 2017;12(4):529-542.
- 330 9. Xanthopoulos MS, Kim JY, Blechner M, Chang M-y, Menello MK, Brown
331 C, et al. Self-efficacy and short-term adherence to continuous positive
332 airway pressure treatment in children. *Sleep*. 2017;40(7).
- 333 10. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang A-G, Buchner A. G* Power 3: A flexible statistical
334 power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences.
335 *Behavior research methods*. 2007;39(2):175-191.
- 336 11. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, Lang A-G. Statistical power analyses using
337 G* Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior*
338 *research methods*. 2009;41(4):1149-1160.
- 339 12. Akkoyunlu ME, Kart L, Uludağ M, Bayram M, Alisha G, Özçelik H, et al.
340 Şehir içi araç kullanan şoförlerde obstrüktif uyku apne sendromu
341 semptomları ve trafik kazası ilişkisi. *Tuberk Toraks*. 2013;61(1):33-37.
- 342 13. Doğan Ö, Dal U, Özşahin L, Akkurt İ, Seyfikli Z. The prevalence of sleep
343 disorders in drivers and its relation with traffic accidents. *Tuberk Toraks*.
344 2008;4:315-321.
- 345 14. Vennelle M, Engleman HM, Douglas NJ. Sleepiness and sleep-related
346 accidents in commercial bus drivers. *Sleep and breathing*. 2010;14(1):39-42.

- 347 15.Lemos LC, Marqueze EC, Sachi F, Lorenzi-Filho G, Moreno CRdC.
348 Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in truck drivers. *Jornal Brasileiro de*
349 *Pneumologia*. 2009;35(6):500-506.
- 350 16.Fidan F, Unlü M, Sezer M, Kara Z. Relation between traffic accidents and
351 sleep apnea syndrome in truck drivers. *Tuberkuloz ve toraks*.
352 2007;55(3):278-84.
- 353 17.Gülbay BE, Acıcan T, Doğan R, Baççioğlu A, Güllü E, Karadağ G. Taksı
354 sürücülerinde gündüz aşırı uyku hali ile trafik kazaları arasındaki ilişki.
355 *Turberküloz Toraks Derg*. 2003;51(4):385-389.
- 356 18.Carter N, Ulfberg J, Nyström B, Edling C.Sleep debt, sleepiness
357 and accidents among males in the general population and male
358 professional drivers. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2003 Jul;35(4):613-7.
- 359 19.Rodenstein D, Sforza E, Montserrat J, Jennum P, Donic V, Zielinski J,
360 editors. Sleep apnea and driving license regulations in the European Union.
361 16th ERS Annual Congress; 2006.
- 362 20.Abakay Ö, Şen HS, Taylan M, Sezgi C, Tanrıverdi MH, Tanrikulu AÇ, et al.
363 Trafik kazası öyküsü olan obstrüktif uyku apne sendromlu hastalarda uyku
364 parametrelerinin değerlendirilmesi. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental*
365 *Investigations*. 2013;4(2):204-207.
- 366 21.Wada H, Shirahama R, Tsuda T, Tanigawa T. Sleep-disordered breathing in
367 occupational places: from screening to Health and Productivity
368 Management. *Sangyo eiseigaku zasshi= Journal of occupational health*.
369 2019.
- 370 22.Fantus R. Asleep at the wheel: Obstructive sleep apnea. *Bulletin of the*
371 *American College of Surgeons*. 2017;102(6):57-58.
- 372 23.Al-Abri MA, Al-Adawi S, Al-Abri I, Al-Abri F, Dorvlo A, Wesonga R, et al.
373 Daytime sleepiness among young adult Omani car drivers. *Sultan Qaboos*
374 *University Medical Journal*. 2018;18(2):e143-148.
- 375 24.Teran-Santos J, Jimenez-Gomez A, Cordero-Guevara J, Burgos-Santander

376 CG. The association between sleep apnea and the risk of traffic accidents.
 377 New England Journal of Medicine. 1999;340(11):847-851.
 378 25. Amra B, Doralı R, Mortazavi S, Golshan M, Farajzadegan Z, Fietze I, et al.
 379 Sleep apnea symptoms and accident risk factors in Persian commercial
 380 vehicle drivers. Sleep and Breathing. 2012;16(1):187-191.

381
 382 -----

383
 384 **Table 1: Evaluation of demographic features and Epworth score among**
 385 **OSAS classification groups**

	OSAS classification				P
	Normal (n:35)	Mild (n:39)	Moderate (n:35)	Severe (n:53)	
	Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD	
Age (years)	42.26±5.9	41.41±5.64	42.26±6.05	42.32±4.98	¹ 0.866
BMI (kg/m²)	29.81±2.8	31.01±4.57	31.89±3.23	33.98±2.92	¹ 0.0001*
Neck circumference (cm)	40.86±2.1	41.54±3.14	42.57±2.42	43.74±2	¹ 0.0001*
Waist/Hip Ratio	0.97±0.05	0.95±0.05	0.98±0.03	0.99±0.05	¹ 0.002*
Epworth Score (median)	3.89±2.6 ⁽³⁾	4.33±3.81 ⁽³⁾	4.97±4.63 ⁽³⁾	4.28±3.98 ⁽³⁾	² 0.952
Smoking status					
<i>n</i> (%)					
Never smoked	12 (34.3%)	10 (25.6%)	9 (25.7%)	19 (35.8%)	³ 0.798
Quit	8 (22.9%)	8 (20.5%)	7 (20%)	7 (13.2%)	
Currently a smoker	15 (42.9%)	21 (53.8%)	19 (54.3%)	27 (50.9%)	
Alcohol					
<i>n</i> (%)					
Not drinking	24 (68.6%)	31 (79.5%)	25 (71.4%)	39 (73.6%)	³ 0.746
Drinking	11 (31.4%)	8 (20.5%)	10 (28.6%)	14 (26.4%)	

386 ¹Oneway Anova Test ²Kruskal Wallis Test ³Chi-square Test **p*<0.05
 387

388 -----
 389

390 **Table 2: Evaluation of demographic features and Epworth score among**
 391 **patients with different accident stories**

	Accident status			P
	Not involved in an accident (n:105)	Almost involved in an accident due to sleepiness (n:37)	Involved in an accident (n:20)	
	Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD	Mean ±SD	
Age (years)	42.33±5.92	41.03±4.41	42.65±5.38	¹ 0.416
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.57±3.74	32.05±3.98	33.46±3.09	¹ 0.114
Neck circumference (cm)	41.91±2.5	42.62±2.89	44±2.43	¹ 0.004*
Waist/Hip Ratio	0.97±0.05	0.97±0.04	1±0.03	¹ 0.109
Epworth Score (median)	4±3.11 ⁽³⁾	5.41±5 ⁽³⁾	4.3±4.54 ⁽³⁾	² 0.598
Smoking n(%)				
Never smoked	34 (32.4%)	11 (29.7%)	5 (25%)	³ 0.693
Quit	22 (21%)	5 (13.5%)	3 (15%)	
Currently a smoker	49 (46.7%)	21 (56.8%)	12 (60%)	
Alcohol n(%)				
Not drinking	77 (73.3%)	30 (81.1%)	12 (60%)	³ 0.227
Drinking	28 (26.7%)	7 (18.9%)	8 (40%)	

392 ¹Oneway Anova Test ²Kruskal Wallis Test ³Chi-square Test *p<0.05

393 -----

394 **Table 3: Distribution of OSAS classifications regarding accident status**

	Accident status			p
	Not involved in an accident (n:105)	Almost involved in an accident due to sleepiness (n:37)	Involved in an accident (n:20)	
No OSAS (n: 35)	35	0	0	0.0001
Mild OSAS (n: 39)	33	6	0	
Moderate OSAS (n:35)	23	10	2	
Severe OSAS (n:53)	14	21	18	

395