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Abstract

Objective: To determine the effect of Mulligan Spinal Mobilisation with Arm Movement along with neurodynamics and manual traction on pain, disability and cervical range of motion in cervical radiculopathy patients.

Methods: The randomised controlled trial (RCT) was conducted from August to December 2017 at the Railway General Hospital, Rawalpindi, Pakistan, and comprised cervical radiculopathy patients of either gender aged 20-60 years. They were randomised into two groups, with the experimental Group A getting treated with Spinal Mobilisation with Arm Movement along with neurodynamics and manual traction, while the control group B only getting treated with neurodynamics and manual traction. The pain, disability and cervical range of motion were assessed before and after treatment of 3 weeks using Numeric Pain Rating Scale, Neck Disability Index and Goniometry. Data was analyzed using SPSS 21.

Results: Of the 31 patients, 19(61.3%) were females and 12(38.7%) were males. The overall mean age was 41.65 ± 9.714 years. There were 15(48.4%) patients in Group A, and 16(51.6%) in Group B. Group A showed significantly better results in terms of pain, disability and cervical range of motion (p<0.05 each).

Conclusion: Patients treated with Spinal Mobilisation with Arm Movement along with neurodynamics and manual traction had better outcome compared to those who only got neurodynamics and manual traction.
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unaffected side while actively performing the limited peripheral joint movement. The mobilisation must be pain-free and sustained throughout the range of extremity movement. The principle behind this approach is when the movement in the shoulder joint occurs, the spinal movements also take place due to the attachment of muscles from the scapula, cervical and upper thoracic spine. It helps in generating myotomal movement as the patient is learning that limited movement.

The current study was planned to see the effect of SMWAM along with neurodynamics and manual traction on pain, disability and cervical range of motion (ROM) in CR patients.

**Patients and Methods**

The randomised controlled trial (RCT) was conducted from August to December 2017 at the Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation outpatient department (OPD) of the Railway General Hospital (RGH), Rawalpindi, Pakistan, after approval was granted by the institutional ethics committee and by the of Riphah College of Rehabilitation Sciences, Islamabad. The subjects were diagnosed on the basis of clinical prediction rule which comprises Spurling test, Distraction test, Upper limb tension test 1 and ipsilateral cervical rotation less than 60 degrees. Three out of these 4 elements confirm a CR diagnosis. Patients of either gender aged 20-60 years with pain and paraesthesia in the unilateral upper extremity and with limited cervical ROM were included, while patients with bilateral upper extremity symptoms, history of previous cervical or thoracic spine injury, recent fracture or surgery in and around the shoulder, any systemic disease and with unstable spine were excluded. Informed consent was taken from all the participants.

Sample size was calculated using OpenEpi tool with 95% confidence level. The subjects were randomly allocated using a lottery method into the two treatment groups A and B.

Patients in both the groups were treated with conventional treatment, neurodynamics and manual traction, while SMWAM was given to only Group A. In conventional treatment, hot pack was applied for 10 minutes, active ranges of motion (AROMs) performed with 3 sets of 10 repetitions and isometrics for each movement was repeated 20 times with the hold of 6 to 10 seconds in each repetition. For neurodynamics, sliding technique was used with a set of 10 repetitions in each session. Manual traction was given for 10 minutes with a pull for 10 sec and rest was 5 sec. Mulligan SMWAM was given to only Group A patients by maintaining transverse glide with 10 repetitions in the first session increasing to 30 repetitions with the sets of 3 in further sessions.

A structured questionnaire was used to collect data before and after the completion of 3-week treatment protocol with 2 sessions per week. Pain intensity was recorded by Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) and degree of disability was assessed by Neck Disability Index (NDI), whereas ROM limitation was measured by Universal Goniometer for both groups. Data was analysed using SPSS 21. Parametric test i-e. independent T-test and paired sample T-test were applied on NDI and all the cervical ranges except right side bending, while non-parametric tests i-e. Wilcoxon signed rank test and Mann-Whitney test were applied on NPRS and cervical right side bending.

**Results**

Of the 47 patients initially assessed, 38(81%) met the criteria. The sample was divided into two equal groups of 19(50%) each. Subsequently, 4(21%) patients from Group A and 3(16%) from Group B dropped out, leaving the final sample size to be 31(82%); 15(48.4%) patients in Group A and 16(51.6%) in Group B. Overall, 19(61.3%) patients were females and 12(38.7%) were males, and the mean age of the entire sample was 41.65±9.714 years. (Table 1). Within-the-group difference showed significant results (p<0.05), but when the groups were compared, Group A showed significantly better results in terms of pain, disability and cervical ROM (p<0.05 each) (Table 2). With the reduction in pain and disability,
SMWAM showed significant increase in cervical ROM compared to neurodynamics and manual traction alone (p<0.05) (Figure).

Discussion
The current study was conducted to determine the effects of SMWAM along with neuro-mobilisation and manual traction on pain, disability and cervical ROM in CR patients. Both the groups showed significant improvement in pain and disability, but, when compared, the experimental group showed more improvement for pain (p<0.05) and decrease in disability (p<0.05). All the cervical ranges were also improved in the experimental group compared to the control group.

Literature showed significant results for pain and disability using SMWAM. A study on 30 patients with mechanical neck pain to see the efficacy of SMWAM compared to supervised exercise programme, in which experimental group was treated with SMWAM and also by supervised exercise programme while the control group was managed by only supervised exercise programme, showed significant improvement in there outcomes which were neck pain, disability and cervical ROM, after a period of 2 weeks of 6 treatment sessions. Similar results were measured in the current study with significant reduction in pain, disability and improvement in functional ability.

The concept of SMWAM is to mobilise the spine above the entrapped nerve along with actively performing the movement affected by that nerve. This technique was also studied on the lower extremity with the name Spinal Mobilisation with Leg Movement (SMWLM) on 30 patients with lumbar radiculopathy. Conventional treatment was given to both groups while SMWLM was given to experimental group along with conventional treatment. After the completion of the study, the results showed significant improvement in pain and functional ability.

Another study, which was done to measure effect on grip strength and pain in patients with radiating arm pain, comprised 50 patients. Some were treated with SMWAM while others with only conventional treatment. Cross-analysis was done for pain and grip strength between the groups. It was concluded that patients treated with SMWAM had better managed pain and their grip strength was more effectively than those given the conventional treatment alone.

In terms of limitations, the current study was done at a single centre with a small sample size which was due to non-availability of CR patients. Besides, cervical ROM was measured by Goniometer as the Inclinometer was not available. And, finally, due to unavailability of a registration office for RCTs in Pakistan, the current trial could not obtain a Registration Number.

Conclusion
Patients treated with SMWAM along with neurodynamics and manual traction managed pain, disability and ROM issues more effectively compared to those treated with neurodynamics and manual traction alone.
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