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Abstract

Objective: To identify the attitudes of doctors regarding prescriptive competences of nurses and midwives since these have been recently regulated in several countries.

Methods: The cross-sectional study was conducted at the Medical University of Warsaw from February 1 to 7, 2016 and comprised doctors working at the Prof. Jan Nielubowicz Regional Medical Chamber in Warsaw, Poland. A specially designed 36-item questionnaire that had 22 statements was used regarding the role of the reform in the healthcare system; the need of granting nurses and midwives particular competencies; and their preparation and readiness for these competencies. The respondents assessed the statements using a Likert scale (1=strongly disagree; 5=strongly agree). STATISTICA 13.2 was used for data analysis.

Results: Of the 436 doctors, 245 (56%) were women. The subjects presented different opinions about the reforms, especially about possible improvement in patient care with nurses prescribing, or the process getting simplified for the care-seekers. Most doctors believed that nurses and midwives were not yet equipped enough to prescribe certain medicines or issue prescriptions (1,79/5). Only in case of nurses and midwives being able to ‘re-order’ medicines earlier prescribed by a doctor, the attitudes of primary care physicians was significantly different than those involved with hospital care (p=0.048).

Conclusions: Doctors were sceptical about expanding professional competences of nurses and midwives regarding drug prescription.
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Introduction

In the last decades, many countries, regardless of income,
mentioning that N&Ms will be allowed to refer patients for diagnostic tests independently. N&Ms with a Bachelor’s degree or a title of specialist will be entitled to do so. Any additional course will not be needed in this case.10

A list of active substances contained in medicinal products prescribed by N&Ms as well as a list of diagnostic tests patients may be referred for by N&Ms were defined in the Ministry of Health regulation.11 The list covers e.g. thiethylperazinum, metronidazolum, phenoxympethylpenicillinum, hydroxyzinumor tramadolum.12

Such significant healthcare reforms shall not be introduced as separate from other medical professions. This kind of change affects particularly MDs who used to have the exclusive competence to prescribe. Reform seems to redefine role of both professions (doctors and nurses) and the process of treatment. Similar process took place in other countries, e.g. in the Netherlands.13 Nowadays, neither nurses nor physicians have a doubt that nurse prescribing makes care more complex and increases the need for consultation between the physicians and the nurses.

Therefore, it is crucial to evaluate attitudes of doctors concerning the subject in question, taking into consideration two major groups: primary care doctors and hospital care doctors.

The current study was planned to identify the attitudes of MDs regarding prescriptive competencies of N&Ms.

**Subjects and Methods**

The cross-sectional study was conducted at the Medical University of Warsaw from February 1 to 7, 2016 and comprised doctors working at the Prof. Jan Nielubowicz Regional Medical Chamber in Warsaw, Poland. The Regional Chamber has 21,890 physicians who execute the profession over the entire territory of the Republic of Poland.

Authors prepared own questionnaire (NPA-36). A preliminary version of the questionnaire was prepared by an interdisciplinary team comprising a lawyer, a nurse, a midwife, a pharmacist, a data-analyst and a research methodology specialist. The team based its work on the analysis of legal regulations and review of the scientific literature regarding nurse prescribing. The search was limited to studies done in English language and published between 2005 and 2015, particularly involving the questionnaire previously developed by Kroezen.7 This version was validated in the pilot study to ensure its congruence, pertinence and clarity. Cronbach’s alpha coefficient (0.937) was used to assess the reliability of the questionnaire.14 Estimated relative standard error was 4.75, confidence level 0.95, and proportion 0.5. Finally, the questionnaire was assessed by experts and adjusted to another amendment of the law made in November 2015.

The questionnaire comprised 36 questions. Of them, 22 statements related to attitudes of the study group towards expanding the competencies of N&Ms. The study participants responded on a five-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree; 5=strongly agree). This part of questionnaire consisted of 14 statements regarding the role of the reform in the healthcare system; 4 statements regarding the need of granting N&Ms particular competences; and 4 statements regarding preparation and readiness of N&Ms for new competencies.

The remaining 14 questions were related to socio-demographic data of the respondents, such as age, gender, place of residence, education, current workplace, additional professional training, professional experience and form of employment contract.

Parameters of descriptive statistics were set for each of the 22 statements comprising the range of attitudes. Mann-Whitney/Wilcoxon non-parametric test was used to estimate potential differences between hospital and primary care doctors. In order to verify the assumptions for the Mann-Whitney U test, we used dispersion Ansari-Bradley test. The assumption of the scale equality (dispersion) parameter in both samples is necessary for proper inference based on the results of the semi-parameter Mann-Whitney U test. If the above assumption was not met, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used instead of the Mann-Whitney U test.15

All calculations were done using STATISTICA 13.2 (Dell, Inc.) in compliance with the license of the Medical University of Warsaw, Poland. The default significance level was set at \( \alpha <0.05 \).

Participants were informed about the voluntary nature of their participation as well as the purpose of the study. Approval of the Ethical Review Board (ERB) of Medical University of Warsaw could not be taken because for the study due to the fact that the ERB clearly states that, “The Ethical Review Board does not give any opinion on surveys, retrospective, and other non-invasive studies”.16

**Results**

Of the 436 doctors, 245(56%) were women. Overall median age was 31 years (range: 21-76 years; inter quartile range [IQR]: 15 years). Besides, 274(62%) subjects lived in a town with over 500,000 inhabitants; 70(16%) lived in a town having up to 100,000 inhabitants; 54(12%) lived in a town having 100,000-500,000 inhabitants, and
Polish nurses and midwives are prepared to "re-order" medicines prescribed earlier by a doctor.

2.39                          2.34                        2.55                   1.223               0.221

Polish nurses and midwives are prepared to issue prescriptions.

...                1.79                          1.77                        1.84                   0.948               0.343

Nurses and midwives should have the possibility of issuing prescriptions.

...                2.29                          2.26                        2.36                   0.700               0.484

Nurses and midwives should have the possibility of prescribing certain medicines

2.66                          2.67                        2.64                  -0.300              0.764

The new powers will increase control of nurses and midwives over the treatment process.

2.85                          2.90                        2.71                  -1.240              0.215

The new powers will be just another duty of nurses and midwives that has applied only to doctors so far.

2.77                          2.81                        2.67                   0.490               0.624

This would save time for a doctor.

...                3.12                          3.05                        3.29                   1.208               0.227

This would save time for a patient.

...                3.07                          3.03                        3.18                   0.817               0.414

This would improve patient care.

2.70                          2.73                        2.61                  -0.643              0.520

Participants were ambiguous about statements on the purpose of giving N&Ms extra powers. They also were not convinced whether the new reform would improve patient care and availability of health services and whether it would improve N&Ms’ supervision over treatment.

The MDs had a strongly negative opinion on the level of preparation on the part of N&Ms to exercise their new powers.

The results were compared by workplace. The study participants were divided into primary care and hospital care groups. Statistically significant differences between the two groups were observed only in response to the following statement: “Nurses and midwives should be able to ‘re-order’ medicines prescribed earlier by a doctor” (p<0.05). Primary care physicians were more willing to agree with the statement compared to hospital care doctors. No statistically significant differences between the groups were found with respect to the remaining 21 statements (p>0.05 each).

**Discussion**

The issue of nurse prescribing has been very often discussed and analysed in global scientific literature devoted to medicine and nursing and comprises a number of publications concerning the assessment of authorising nurses to prescribe medicines and issue prescriptions.1,2,5,6,13,17-22 Kroezon et al. examined views on the consequences of nurse prescribing in a group of nurses and physicians.5 It showed that most of the doctors claimed that it will increase nurses’ responsibility and the need for consultation between physicians and nurses. Horton1 indicates that nurses had been

14(3%) lived in a village. Also, 295(67%) subjects lived in Masovian province.

Of the total, 55(13%) MDs had a higher academic degree; 185(42%) were attending a specialised training at the time of the study; 172(39%) had completed some specialised training; 79(18%) had not completed any specialised training. Median seniority among the MDs was 5 years (range: 0-49 years; IQR: 14). Overall, 245(56%) worked in the public sector; 77(17%) were employed in the private sector; 114(26%) worked in both the sectors. Lastly, 259(59%) MDs were employed at some teaching, town or district hospital while 96(22%) worked as primary care doctors.

More than a half — 225(52%) MDs agreed that expanding competencies of N&Ms in terms of prescribing medicines and issuing prescriptions would raise the prestige of those professions, but, at the same time, it would increase the professional and legal liability of the ones exercising the new powers. Similarly, 226 (52%) of respondents believed that implementation of the new provisions would save time of patients and 218 (50%) time of doctors.

Participants were ambiguous about statements on the purpose of giving N&Ms extra powers. They also were not convinced whether the new reform would improve patient care and availability of health services and whether it would improve N&Ms’ supervision over treatment.

The MDs had a strongly negative opinion on the level of preparation on the part of N&Ms to exercise their new powers.
manipulated under the guise of providing quicker and more efficient access to healthcare, to fill the gaps left by too few doctors. Increasing number of publications regarding nurse prescribing shows that the topic is an important issue for medical and nursing care.

The results of the current study demonstrate that MDs were sceptical about the new powers of N&Ms. However, a large number of physicians saw positive aspects of changes in law, such as time-saving effects for doctors and patients. Primary care physicians significantly more often supported the idea of N&Ms writing out prescriptions for medicines ordered earlier by a doctor, which may show that this power is particularly needed in primary care. On the other hand, greater approval for issuing prescriptions on medical order than on their own may result from a lack of confidence in N&Ms or concerns of patients’ safety.

Doctors participating in the study had a negative opinion on N&M's preparation for exercising the new powers. This may be verified only by a reliable evaluation of the preparation and the practice itself. Graduation from a degree programme in nursing or midwifery, including a course in pharmacology as well as a specialisation course in this regard, may prove to be insufficient for patients’ safety. On the other hand, attention should be given to the fact that the list of active substances that can be prescribed by N&Ms comprises only 30 items.

The study results have been compared with others in recent literature. The general tone of the present study suggests that unwillingness of doctors regarding the introduction or functioning of nursing prescriptions is not only specific to Poland. MDs’ concerns are raised in many countries as e.g. United States, Switzerland or New Zealand.17,18

A study17 showed that American and Swiss doctors were reluctant to the idea of granting prescriptive powers to nurses. Also, a significant component of Dutch physicians also claims that nurse prescribing endangered patient safety.5 On the other hand, Stodart18 pointed out the concerns of some specialists that nurses with the powers to issue prescriptions would become a cheaper healthcare option for employers. The need for an in-depth evaluation of knowledge of pharmacology among prescribing nurses was also emphasised. The same study quoted the position of The New Zealand Medical Association in which it strongly rejected the proposal of granting nurses with prescriptive powers, underlining that this was dangerous and would weaken cooperation of multidisciplinary teams in healthcare.18 Other studies have also raised concerns regarding patient safety in case when a prescription is issued by a nurse.1,5,21

However, numerous other studies demonstrated that the aforementioned concerns were either unfounded or at least partly irrelevant. A study22 showed that treatment ordered by a nurse was as safe and efficient as the one ordered by a physician, and doctors themselves were in favour of granting nurses with the powers to issue prescriptions. Despite certain concerns, Horton1 stated that nurses were more careful with drug prescription compared to doctors, and used smaller doses. This was confirmed by a systematic review6 which demonstrated that nurses ordered non-pharmacological treatment more frequently and patients were equally satisfied with medical and nursing care as regards prescriptions.

The above results do not mean, however, that the issue of prescriptive powers of nurses does not require adjustment. Issuing prescriptions by nurses and midwives is connected with a significant increase of legal and professional liability. In the case of Poland, new competencies are not rewarded with higher salaries. This does not encourage N&Ms to exercise their new competencies or to continue their education in order to improve their preparation. On the other hand, we are dealing with the blurring of the boundary between doctors and nurses, which also may affect the transparency in cooperation between the two professions.1,21 This might be one of the reasons for scepticism of doctors and nurses over nurse prescribing.

Polish scientific literature comprises only a small number of publications devoted to the issue of authorising nurses and midwives to write out prescriptions.24-26 None of them focussed on doctors' attitudes towards or opinions on these competencies. These papers concerned nurse's opinions regarding nurse prescribing24,25 and the scope of formal prescribing authorities of nurses and midwives.26

In the context of Polish research, the results of the current study may be directly compared to the ones obtained by Zarzeka et al. among a representative group of 968 nurses during specialisation.25 That study demonstrated that nurses were positive about the impact of introduction of nursing prescriptions on patient care. Over 60% of them were sure that this would reduce the waiting time for treatment and increase the availability of healthcare. Over two-thirds of the respondents said that the proposed measures would save patients' time. In this context, doctors’ opinions must be considered as particularly sceptical.25

The present study showed that doctors agreed in
principle with the statements that the introduction of nursing prescriptions would raise both the prestige of the nursing and midwifery professions and their liability. In the study conducted among nurses during specialisation\textsuperscript{25} the respondents agreed with these statements to an even greater extent (53\% and 81\% of all, respectively). This comparison showed that despite many differences, opinions of doctors and nurses regarding the new powers were similar to a certain extent. However, nurses were still more enthusiastic about the reform even in these aspects.

The comparison of results between groups of doctors and nurses during specialisation demonstrated that there were clear concerns among both groups about the preparation of N&Ms to exercise the new powers. Those doubts were stronger also among doctors. Only 39\% of nurses said that N&Ms were not prepared to issue prescriptions.

Only deep understanding of professional changes and real support from physicians will lead to success of the reform and will increase confidence of the prescribing nurses. It requires reasonable informational campaign which will show both advantages and challenges for doctors related to nurse prescribing.

Attitudes of doctors towards nurse prescribing need further studies, particularly with qualitative approaches, to provide contextualised data on the topic. This kind of studies would reveal better understanding about medical concerns and could facilitate future inter-professional education and clinical work. The present study has its limitations. The fact that most MDs come from the Masovian Province indicates that the results may not be fully representative of the population of Polish doctors. The average age of doctors was also lower than the average age in the country. However, the study results may be a worthwhile suggestion for further studies on nursing prescriptions.

**Conclusions**

Doctors were sceptical about nurses and midwives having the power to prescribe medicines and issue prescriptions independently. The study participants believed that nurses were not prepared to exercise the new competencies. Most of them also believed they should not be granted these possibilities. Ambulatory care doctors were significantly more often in favour of granting N&Ms such competencies. This may be indicative of either particular importance of nurse prescribing in primary care or an excessive number of administrative obligations of MDs that may be mitigated by the new competencies of N&Ms.
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