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Abstract
Thromboembolic events in patients with cancer are frequently reported in literature and usually involve the venous circulation. De novo thrombus formation in the arterial system without any underlying atherosclerosis, dissection or aneurysm is extremely rare. We report the case of a patient with metastatic colorectal carcinoma who developed a thrombus in the descending aorta without any intervention or risk factors. The patient presented with non-specific gastrointestinal symptoms. A CT scan revealed an aortic thrombus obstructing 70% of the lumen. She opted for no treatment of her thrombus and was later complicated by extensive lower limb emboli. Patients with aortic thrombosis usually present with subtle symptoms which may be underestimated by both the patient and the physician. A high index of suspicion is required for early recognition and prevention of complications.
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Introduction
The increased risk of thromboembolic events in malignancy is well documented in literature and has many causes including chemotherapy, surgical intervention, immobilization and malignancy induced hypercoagulability. An aortic thrombus however is extremely rare in patients with cancer. In the absence of underlying atherosclerosis, dissection and aneurysm, it may be related to factors predisposing to the formation of clots such as sepsis, autoimmune disease, disseminated intravascular thrombosis and polycythemia. We report the case of a patient with metastatic colorectal cancer who was found to have an incidental descending aorta thrombus without any predisposing factors. To our knowledge, there is no such case documented in literature.

Case Presentation
A 55-year-old lady, nondiabetic, nonhypertensive presented to our emergency department (Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan; August, 2010) with abdominal distension and constipation. She had recently presented with complaints of bleeding per rectum for 1 month. Colonoscopy at that time revealed a rectal mass 5 cm from the anal verge and biopsy confirmed the diagnosis of a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma for which she had refused any treatment. Abdominal X-ray at the current visit showed colonic obstruction with distented bowel loops. CT scan revealed a rectal mass with liver metastasis and an incidental finding of a descending aorta thrombus, obstructing 70% of the lumen (Figure).

Her Cardiovascular examination was unremarkable. There was no evidence of aneurysm, dissection or atherosclerosis. Further laboratory work-up for autoimmune and coagulation disorders, such as anti-phospholipid syndrome, protein-S, protein-C and anti-thrombin III deficiency was negative. There was no
Complications related to aortic thrombus are descending aorta. Preventable and require prompt recognition and management. Long term anticoagulation with unfractionated heparin is most widely accepted as a first line therapy. Surgical intervention for thrombus resection and catheter guided thrombolysis are reserved for patients with contraindications to long-term anticoagulation therapy.6

This case also highlights the importance of identifying and counselling patients prone to leaving against medical advice. Limited work has been done regarding these patients and various factors have been identified that increase the risk of leaving care against medical advice. These factors include absence of medical insurance, trauma admission, increased age, substance abuse and mental illnesses.8 All these factors are important to address because these patients have higher readmission and mortality rates and understanding the underlying cause of leaving may result in improved care and outcomes. The effort begins with recognizing patients who are at risk and targeting these patients for early intervention and advice. Clark et al9 offered a systematic approach called AIMED (includes risk assessment, determination of decision-making capacity, investigation of patient motivations, mitigation of harm and clear communication) that can minimize the harm and ethical lapses and result in better and safer patient outcomes. Therefore, recognizing known risk factors that predict high likelihood of against medical advice discharge and targeting these patients for early intervention may be beneficial. In addition, nurses and medical students can play a vital role by providing information about their disease and prognosis, conveying concerns about possible issues after discharge and stressing on the fact that they can always return back for additional care.10

Discussion
A review of literature shows only a few cases of aortic thrombosis in cancer patients. Out of these patients, all except two had received chemotherapy for their primary disease which is a known predisposing factor for thromboembolic disease.3 Among these two cases that developed de novo thrombosis, one patient was a known case of protein c and protein s deficiency4 and the other one5 underwent invasive pelvic surgery, both identified as potential causes for the thrombus formation. In our patient, no risk factors or interventions were identified for the formation of thrombus.

Recognition of aortic thrombosis is important because it constitutes a dangerous condition and exposes the patient to potentially preventable complications such as peripheral embolism, ischaemia and angina. Patients usually present with subtle or no symptoms which may be underestimated by both the patient and the physician.6 This was seen in the present case where the patient refused any management for the aortic thrombus. The only identifiable source of her lower extremity emboli was the thrombus in the descending aorta.

Complications related to aortic thrombus are preventable and require prompt recognition and management. Long term anticoagulation with unfractionated heparin is most widely accepted as a first line therapy. Surgical intervention for thrombus resection and catheter guided thrombolysis are reserved for patients with contraindications to long-term anticoagulation therapy.6

This case also highlights the importance of identifying and counselling patients prone to leaving against medical advice. Limited work has been done regarding these patients and various factors have been identified that increase the risk of leaving care against medical advice. These factors include absence of medical insurance, trauma admission, increased age, substance abuse and mental illnesses.8 All these factors are important to address because these patients have higher readmission and mortality rates and understanding the underlying cause of leaving may result in improved care and outcomes. The effort begins with recognizing patients who are at risk and targeting these patients for early intervention and advice. Clark et al9 offered a systematic approach called AIMED (includes risk assessment, determination of decision-making capacity, investigation of patient motivations, mitigation of harm and clear communication) that can minimize the harm and ethical lapses and result in better and safer patient outcomes. Therefore, recognizing known risk factors that predict high likelihood of against medical advice discharge and targeting these patients for early intervention may be beneficial. In addition, nurses and medical students can play a vital role by providing information about their disease and prognosis, conveying concerns about possible issues after discharge and stressing on the fact that they can always return back for additional care.10

Conclusion
Aortic thrombosis in malignancy is extremely rare. We report the case of an elderly woman developed a thrombus in the descending aorta without any intervention or risk factors and therefore such cases should be anticipated in cancer patients without any resection, chemotherapy, risk factors or interventions. It is imperative for the clinician to have a high level of clinical suspicion for vascular complications in patients with aortic thrombosis. Delays in diagnosis may have a significant impact on morbidity and outcomes.
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