

**Response to comment on Shama Chaudhry et al (J Pak Med Assoc 2016; 66: 107-110)****Rare primary fallopian tube carcinoma; a gynaecologist's dilemma**

Shama Chaudhry

Madam, it is very kind of you that you sent me the letter to editor related to my publication "Rare primary fallopian tube carcinoma; a gynaecologist's dilemma: case series" published in the January 2016 issue of JPMA..

Answer to the queries is that:

◆ All the cases were proven by histopathology that primary was in fallopian tubes and not in ovaries and

.....  
Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Ziauddin University Hospital,  
Karachi.

**Correspondence:** Email: chshama77@gmail.com

omentum.

◆ On microscopic examination dysplastic changes in the mucosa adjacent to the tumour suggested origin from the fallopian tube

◆ Dilated lymphatics and vascular invasion seen in the wall of the fallopian tube fulfilled Sedlis criteria

◆ Ovaries were involved by metastasis as cases were diagnosed at very advanced stages as in case 2 only outer surface was involved and rest of the residual ovaries were normal.

Do not hesitate if you have further queries.