

# Colposcopy in the Diagnosis of Human Papilloma Virus Infection, Cervical Intraepithelial Neoplasia and Invasive Carcinoma

Pages with reference to book, From 257 To 258

Rakhshinda Bajwa, Saeed Akhtar Khan, Ghulam Rasool Qureshi, Naseer Ahmad Chaudhry ( Department of Pathology, Postgraduate Medical Institute, Lahore. )

## Abstract

Colposcopic diagnosis of 156 different lesions of the cervix was compared with histologic examination of the cervical punch biopsies. Colposcopy showed high degree of sensitivity and specificity (96% and 99.2%) in cases of carcinoma of the cervix. In cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) It showed a sensitivity of 82.8% and specificity of 49.6%, while in subclinical papilloma virus Infection (SPI), it showed high degree of specificity (93.7%) but poor sensitivity (19.7%) (JPMA 43:257, 1993).

## Introduction

Screening programmes for detection of carcinoma of the cervix in its preclinical stage require consideration of easily identifiable variables like marital status, parity, contraceptive use, symptoms<sup>1</sup> and risk factors like sexual habits and number of sexual partners<sup>2</sup>. In addition to cervical cytology, colposcopic examination has become an important part of such a screening programme<sup>3</sup>. Appropriate biopsies can be obtained through colposcope which picks up acetowhite epithelium and abnormal vascular patterns<sup>4</sup>. The present study was aimed to find out the extent to which colposcope helps in diagnosing carcinoma of, the cervix and its precursor lesions.

## Patients and Methods

A total of 163 women reporting to out-patient department of Lady Wilingdon Hospital, Lahore were examined colposcopically before and after application of 5% acetic acid. The cervix, squamocolumnar junction and vaginal fomices were examined. Colposcopic diagnosis of the lesions was made on the criteria already described<sup>4-6</sup>. Colposcope directed punch biopsies were obtained from the relevant areas. The specimens were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin and stained with. H&E and PAS. Human papilloma viral antigens were detected by immuno- histochemical staining using peroxidase-antiperoxidase technique<sup>7</sup>. After excluding inadequate biopsies (7 cases), the lesions were diagnosed as normal, chronic cervicitis, subclinical papilloma virus infection (SPI), SPI+CIN, CIN and invasive carcinoma.

## Results

**Table I. Overall comparison of colposcopic and histologic diagnosis.**

Diagnosis	No. of cases diagnosed on	
	colposcopy	Histology
Normal	3	7
Ch. cervicitis	22	34
SPI*	18	61
SPI + CIN	23	27
CIN**	65	2
Carcinoma	25	25
<b>Total</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>156</b>

\*SPI = Subclinical papilloma virus infection.

\*\*CIN = Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia.

Table I shows the overall comparison of colposcopic and histologic diagnosis which is rather misleading in the case of invasive carcinoma. Twenty-four cases of invasive carcinoma were correctly diagnosed on colposcopic examination. One case diagnosed as carcinoma on colposcopy turned out to be SPI + CIN. One case diagnosed as CIN on colposcopy turned out to be invasive carcinoma. Diagnostic accuracy of colposcopic examination in three main lesions, that is, SPI, CIN and carcinoma is analysed in Tables II-IV.

**Table II. Comparison of colposcopic diagnosis of SPI with histologic diagnosis.**

		Histologic	Diagnosis	Total
		+	-	
Colposcopic	+	12	6	18
Diagnosis	-	49	89	138
<b>Total</b>		<b>61</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>156</b>

Sensitivity = 19.7%

Specificity = 93.7%

Positive predictive value = 66.7%

Negative predictive value = 64.5%

**Table III. Comparison of colposcopic diagnosis of CIN with histologic diagnosis.**

		Histologic	Diagnosis	Total
		+	-	
Colposcopic	+	24	64	88
Diagnosis	-	5	63	68
<b>Total</b>		<b>29</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>156</b>

Sensitivity = 82.8%

Specificity = 49.6%

Positive predictive value = 27.3%

Negative predictive value = 92.6%

**Table IV. Comparison of colposcopic diagnosis of carcinoma with histologic diagnosis.**

		Histologic	Diagnosis	Total
		+	-	
Colposcopic	+	24	1	25
Diagnosis	-	1	130	131
Total		25	131	156

**Sensitivity = 96.0%**

**Specificity = 99.2%**

**Positive predictive value = 96.0%**

**Negative predictive value = 99.2%**

The cases of CIN in Table III include both the cases of CIN alone and SPI+CIN.

## Discussion

Recently there has been increased documentation of carcinoma of cervix and its precursor lesions along with human papilloma virus (HPV) infection<sup>2,6,8-10</sup>. Typical exophytic condylomata acuminata account for only a few of the infections of the cervix<sup>11</sup>. The most common manifestation of HPV Infection of the cervix is a macroscopically invisible lesion termed flat condyloma by Meisels and Fortin<sup>12</sup> and subclinical papilloma virus infection (SPI) by Reid et al<sup>5</sup>. Coexisting lesions like SPI + CIN have been reported<sup>8,13-15</sup> making the diagnosis further difficult. More or less objective criteria have been defined to diagnose all such lesions on colposcopic examination<sup>4,5</sup>. However, a number of studies<sup>6,10,16</sup> show that colposcopic diagnosis is not accurate enough and Kirkup et al concluded that differential diagnosis of subclinical papilloma virus infection and CIN was not clear cut. In this study we found that colposcopic examination showed a high degree of specificity and sensitivity in the diagnosis of carcinoma of the cervix but not, with premalignant lesions. In cases of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia it showed quite a good sensitivity but was not specific enough to be relied upon. In the diagnosis of subclinical papilloma virus infection it was, highly specific but showed poor sensitivity. It is hoped that with further experience we will be able to improve colposcopic diagnosis in premalignant cervical lesions. Till such time, the ultimate tool to diagnose pre-malignant lesions remains the histological examination of colposcopically directed punch biopsies.

## References

1. Parkin, D.M., Flodgson, P. and Clayden, AD. Incidence and prevalence of preclinical carcinoma of cervix in a British population. *Br.J.Obstet. Gynaecol.*, 1982;89:564-70.
2. Brisson, J., Roy, M., Fortier, M. et al. Condyloma and intraepithelial neoplasia of the uterine cervix: a case-control study. *Am.J.Epidemiol.*, 1988;128:337-42.
3. Walker, P. and Singer, A. colposcopy: who, when, where and by whom? *Br.J.Obstet. Gynaecol.*, 1991;98:1011-13.
4. Reid, R., Herschman, BR, Cram, CF. et al. Genital warts and cervical cancer V. The tissue basis of colposcopic change. *Am.J.Obstet. Gynaecol.*, 1984;149:293-303.
5. Reid, R., Stanhope, CR, Henschman, BR. et al. Genital warts and cervical cancer IV. A colposcopic

- index for differentiating sub-clinical papilloma viral infection from cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *Am.J.Obstet. Gynaecol.*, 1984;149:815-23.
6. McNicol, P.J., Guijon, F.B., Parsskevss, M. and Brunham, R.C. Comparison of filter in situ deoxyribonucleic acid hybridization with cytologic, colposcopic and histopathologic examination for detection of human papilloma virus infection in women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *Am.J.Obstet. Gynaecol.*, 1989;160:265-70.
  7. Kurman, R.J., Shah, K.H., Lancaster, W.D. et al. Immunoperoxidase localization of papilloma virus antigens in cervical dysplasia and vulvar condylomas. *Am.J.Obstet. Gynaecol.*, 1981;140:931-935.
  8. Guillet, G., Braun, L, Shah, K. et al. Papilloma virus in cervical condylomas with and without associated cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *J. Invest. Dermatol.*, 1983;81:S13-16.
  9. Carmichael, J.A. and Maskena, P.D. Cervical dysplasia and human papilloma virus. *Am.J.Obstet. Gynaecol.*, 1989;160:916-18
  10. Waackerlin, L.W., Potter, N.J. and Cheatham, G.R. Correlation of cytologic, colposcopic and histologic studies with immunohistochemical studies of human papilloma virus structural antigens in an unselected patient population. *Am.J.Obstet. Gynaecol.*, 1981;158:1394-1402.
  11. Fletcher, S. Histopathology of papilloma virus infection of the cervix uteri: the histology, taxonomy, nomenclature and reporting of koilocytic dysplasias. *J.Clin. Pathol.*, 1983;36:616-24.
  12. Meisels, A., Fortin, R. Condylomatous lesions of the cervix and vagina I: cytologic patterns. *Acta. Cytol.*, 1974;20:505-9.
  13. Meisels, A., Motin, C, Casas-Cordero, M. et al. Human papillomavirus (HPV) venereal infections and gynecologic cancer. *Pathol. Annu.*, 1983;18:277-93.
  14. Cram, C.F., Egawa, K, Barron, B. et al. Human papilloma virus infection (condyloma) of the cervix and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. A histopathologic and statistical analysis. *Gynaecol. Oncol.*, 1983;15:88-94.
  15. McCance, D.J., Champion, M.J., Clarkson, P.K., et al. Prevalence of human papilloma virus type 16 DNA sequences in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and invasive carcinoma of the cervix. *Br.J.Obstet.Gynaecol.*, 1985;92:1101-5.
  16. Purola, R.E, Haila, H., Yesternen, B. Condyloma and cervical epithelial atypias in young women. *Gynecol. Oncol.*, 1983;16:34-40.