

## Species identification of invasive yeasts including *Candida* in Pakistan: limitations of phenotypic methods

Joveria Farooqi,<sup>1</sup> Kauser Jabeen,<sup>2</sup> Noureen Saeed,<sup>3</sup> Afia Zafar,<sup>4</sup> Mary Eleanor Brandt,<sup>5</sup> Rumina Hasan<sup>6</sup>

Department of Pathology & Microbiology, Aga Khan Hospital Karachi,<sup>1-4,6</sup>

Mycotic Diseases Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.<sup>5</sup>

Corresponding Author: Joveria Farooqi. Email: [joveria.farooqi@aku.edu](mailto:joveria.farooqi@aku.edu)

### Abstract

**Objective:** To compare phenotypic and genotypic methods of yeast identification.

**Methods:** The in-vitro cross-sectional study was conducted from January 2006 to May 2009. Invasive yeasts isolated at the clinical microbiology laboratory at the Aga Khan University (AKU), Karachi, Pakistan, were identified. Speciation by phenotypic and molecular methods was compared. All yeasts isolated during the study period from blood and other invasive sites were identified using standard methods. Isolates were shipped to Mycotic Diseases Branch, Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, for identification by Luminex flow cytometric multianalyte profiling (xMAP) system. Ribosomal ITS2 DNA sequencing was performed on isolates not identified by Luminex.

**Result:** Of the 214 invasive yeasts evaluated, *Candida* species were 209 (97.7%) while the frequency of non-*Candida* species was 5 (2.3%). Overall agreement between phenotypic and molecular identification was 81.3%, 90.3% amongst the more common *Candida* species, and only 38.8% amongst the uncommon yeasts.

**Conclusion:** Phenotypic methods of identification proved adequate for common *Candida* species, but were deficient in recognising rare *Candida* and non-*Candida* yeasts, highlighting the importance of molecular methods for identification.

**Keywords:** Invasive yeasts, *Candida*, *Rhodotorula*, *Cryptococcus*, Luminex MAP. (JPMA 62: 995; 2012)

### Introduction

Invasive fungal infections are increasingly becoming important infectious agents in this era of advancing medical technology.<sup>1,2</sup> Invasive yeast infections can cause severe illness with high mortality, and with the lowest survival rates seen in cancer patients.<sup>3</sup> These infections increase the overall cost of management and prolong hospital stay.<sup>4</sup> Appropriate and timely therapy can significantly reduce mortality.<sup>3</sup> Accurate identification of these yeasts is crucial for the initiation of appropriate anti-fungal chemotherapy as some species are known to be intrinsically resistant to certain anti-fungals.<sup>5,6</sup>

DNA-based methods are considered authoritative for the identification of fungal isolates.<sup>7-9</sup> The Luminex xMAP (Luminex Corp, Austin, TX USA) is a rapid multiplex system that utilises polystyrene beads coupled to oligonucleotide probes directed at the ribosomal ITS2 regions of major *Candida* species to detect and differentiate each species in a single microtiter well plate by flow cytometry.<sup>10</sup> Various forms of this technology have been validated as reliable molecular techniques for the identification of various medically important fungal species,<sup>11</sup> and can be used to speciate *Candida* isolates as long as their specific oligonucleotide probes are available.

Although nucleotide sequence analysis is still the most accurate molecular method because known species, as well as undescribed species, are quickly recognized,<sup>12</sup> but the use of the Luminex method with oligonucleotide probes for detecting the well-conserved ITS2 region has the advantage of detecting multiple species even in mixed cultures if the correct species-specific probes are present.<sup>12</sup>

However, clinical laboratories in resource-constrained countries like Pakistan have limited access to expensive molecular techniques and rely mainly on phenotypic methods for the identification of yeasts.<sup>13</sup> Phenotypic identification by experienced personnel is effective for the identification of most commonly encountered pathogenic yeasts. The identification of less frequently encountered species may become problematic or common yeasts may manifest strain variation.<sup>9</sup> Determining biochemical assimilation profiles of yeasts on API® 20 C AUX (bioMérieux, SA, Lyon France) alone may be considered the primary phenotypic method for yeast identification as it has been reported to have a correct identification rate of more than 90% at 72 hours for most clinically relevant species.<sup>8,14,15</sup>

This study aimed to assess the agreement between conventional phenotypic methods with either Luminex

xMAP system or DNA sequencing for the identification of invasive yeasts isolated from clinical samples in Pakistan.

### Materials and Methods

The in-vitro cross-sectional study was conducted between January 2006 and May 2009 at the clinical microbiology laboratory, Aga Khan University (AKU), Karachi, Pakistan, and the Mycotic Diseases Branch, Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA. The study included 214 yeasts isolated from 185 patients' sterile sites: 189 from blood; 12 from pleural, peritoneal, bile and synovial fluid; 6 from cerebrospinal fluid; and 7 from the tips of central venous catheters or endoventricular drains. Standard phenotypic identification was based on the production of germ tube, inhibition by cycloheximide, urease production, colour and morphology on BiGGY Agar (BD BBL™, USA), microscopic morphology on cornmeal-Tween 80 agar and identification profile generated on API 20C AUX (bioMérieux, SA, Lyon France) at 72 hours, interpreted according to API 20C codebook (1988). Of the supplemental tests suggested by the codebook, bile-esculin test was performed for identification of *C. lusitaniae*. Isolates were saved in glycerol phosphate buffer at -80°C, revived and shipped to the Mycotic Diseases Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

The isolates were then refreshed on Sabouraud dextrose agar to be identified by Luminex flow cytometric multianalyte profiling (xMAP) system according to the method of Deak.<sup>15</sup> The principle is based on hybridisation of ribosomal ITS2 region DNA amplicons to *Candida* species-specific

oligonucleotide capture probes covalently bound to polystyrene beads internally labelled with different ratios of red and infrared dyes so they can be distinguished from one another. The target region of interest, in this case the ITS2 region, was amplified and concurrently labelled with biotin on the 5' end of the bead-coupled polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplicon. After hybridisation, the capture probe that binds the biotinylated amplicon of the complementary species was then detected by streptavidin-phycoerythrin (PE) detection buffer which binds to the biotin label. A reporter laser excited the PE and a fluorescent signal was detected, processed, and recorded by the multianalyte profiling system. The classification laser revealed the unique spectral address of each bead (distinguishing one species from another), and the identity laser allowed the system to detect specific amplicon binding to the bead. Those isolates which were not identified by the Luminex underwent ITS2 gene sequencing for their final identification.<sup>16</sup>

The study was approved by the Ethical Research Committee of Aga Khan University. SPSS version 19.0 was used for data entry and analysis. Male-to-female ratio, mean and standard deviation for age of patients was calculated. Agreement of phenotypic and molecular methods of yeast identification was calculated using Kappa scores. The following standards were used for the strength of agreement for the Kappa coefficient: 0 - 0.0099 as poor; 0.01- 0.20 as slight; 0.21- 0.40 as fair; 0.41- 0.60 as moderate; 0.61- 0.80 as substantial; and 0.81-1 as almost perfect.

### Results

Out of the total of 185 patients whose samples were

**Table-1: Frequency of invasive yeast species and concordance of phenotypic (API 20C-based) with genotypic (Luminex and DNA sequencing) identification methods.**

S. No.	Species	Frequency n (%)	Kappa score (S.E.)	Mis-identified by phenotypic method as:
1	<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	69 (32.2)	0.946 (0.02)	<i>C. glabrata</i> : 2, <i>C. humicola</i> : 1, <i>C. neoformans</i> : 1
2	<i>C. albicans</i>	43 (20.0)	0.956 (0.03)	<i>C. rugosa</i> : 1, <i>C. tropicalis</i> : 1
3	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	30 (14.0)	0.69 (0.06)	<i>C. guilliermondii</i> : 1
4	<i>C. glabrata</i>	23 (10.7)	0.670 (0.09)	<i>C. humicola</i> : 2, <i>C. parapsilosis</i> : 3, <i>C. albicans</i> : 1, missed: 2
5	<i>C. guilliermondii</i>	13 (6.0)	0.507 (0.14)	<i>C. humicola</i> : 1, <i>C. parapsilosis</i> : 5, <i>C. lusitaniae</i> : 1, <i>C. species</i> :
6	<i>C. krusei</i>	5 (2.3)	0.887 (0.11)	<i>C. lusitaniae</i> : 1
7	<i>C. lusitaniae</i>	4 (1.9)	0.721 (0.15)	-
8	<i>C. metapsilosis</i>	4 (1.9)	-*	All <i>C. parapsilosis</i>
9	<i>C. orthopsilosis</i>	4 (1.9)	-*	<i>C. parapsilosis</i> : 3, <i>C. lusitaniae</i> : 1
10	<i>C. viswanathii</i>	4 (1.9)	-*	<i>C. parapsilosis</i> : 3, <i>C. lusitaniae</i> : 1
11	<i>Rhodotorula mucilaginosa</i>	4 (1.9)	-*	All as <i>R. rubra</i>
12	<i>C. pelliculosa</i>	3 (1.4)	1.00 (0.00)	-
13	<i>C. utilis</i>	2 (0.9)	-*	Both as <i>C. species</i>
14	<i>C. kefyr</i>	1 (0.5)	1.00 (0.00)	-
15	<i>C. rugosa</i>	1 (0.5)	0.665 (0.31)	-
16	<i>C. fabianii</i>	1 (0.5)	-*	<i>C. species</i>
17	Novel <i>Candida</i> spp. MCR <i>C. haemulonii</i>	1 (0.5)	-*	<i>C. species</i>
18	Novel <i>Candida</i> spp.	1 (0.5)	-*	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>
19	<i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i>	1 (0.5)	0.665 (0.31)	-
	Total	214 (100)	-	-

**Table-2: Molecular and phenotypic identification agreement rates amongst yeast groups.**

Species (n)	Percentage of 214 invasive yeasts studied (n)	Kappa score (S.E.)
Common Candida spp.*	77.1% (170)	0.557 (0.07)
Uncommon yeasts	22.9% (44)	0.237 (0.05)
All Candida species	97.7% (209)	0.13 (0.06)
All non-Candida species	2.3% (5)	0.036 (0.02)
<i>C. glabrata</i> isolates not detected on phenotypic identification	0.9% (2)	-

\*Common Candida species include *C.tropicalis*, *C.albicans*, *C.parapsilosis* and *C.glabrata*.

taken, the male-to-female ratio was 1.57 and the mean age was  $30.2 \pm 26.97$  years. The most common species isolated amongst the 214 invasive yeasts were *C.tropicalis* (n=69; 32.2%), *C.albicans* (n=43; 20%), *C.parapsilosis* (n=30; 14.0%), and *C.glabrata* (n=23; 10.7%), respectively (Table-1). Less common yeasts isolated constituted 22.9% (44/214) of the total (Table-2). Five (2.3%) non-Candida isolates were identified, four of which were *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* from a parenteral nutrition outbreak, and one was *Cryptococcus neoformans*.

Use of phenotypic methods alone showed that correct identification of Candida species, making up 97.7% (n=209) of the collection, was found to be inadequate with a Kappa score of only  $0.13 \pm 0.06$  compared to DNA-based identification. However, excluding less commonly encountered species brought agreement rates up to  $0.557 \pm 0.07$ . Phenotypic and molecular identification agreement rates were particularly low for *C.guilliermondii*, *C.metapsilosis*, *C.orthopsilosis*, *C.viswanathii*, *C.utilis*, *C.fabianii* and novel Candida species and non-Candida isolates, all except 5 *C.guilliermondii* identified only on DNA-based studies. All four *R.mucilaginosa* isolates were categorised as *R.rubra* by API 20C AUX. Though the identification was low discrimination category (55% *R.rubra* and 45% *R.glutinis*), it was excellent identification till genus level. However, the supplemental nitrate assimilation test, suggested by the API codebook, could not be performed due to the unavailability of media. The error thus can be considered minor. One *C.neoformans* isolate was identified correctly by the phenotypic test battery.

## Discussion

The epidemiology of invasive yeast infections has globally identified *C. albicans* as the most common species.<sup>17</sup> Other prevalent yeasts causing invasive disease are *C.tropicalis*, *C.parapsilosis*, *C.glabrata* and *C.krusei*.<sup>17,18</sup> Epidemiology and distribution of invasive yeasts show geographical variability, and depend on a variety of risk factors. Most of these common Candida species can reliably be identified using phenotypic methods. Thus, yeast identification for most surveillance purposes is performed using assimilation tests.<sup>19,20</sup> However, it has been reported

before that genotypic identification methods are superior to conventional biochemical tests.<sup>11,21</sup> In one study, the accuracy of identification has been calculated to be 77% for API 20C AUX.<sup>21</sup> Our results (81.3%) showed only a minimal increase in the efficiency of identification with the addition of microscopic and gross morphology, urease and cycloheximide tolerance. Agreement in conventional and genotypic identification amongst commonly encountered Candida species was higher than among those species less frequently isolated mostly because many of the less frequent species were not included in the API codebook. This finding is supported by other studies comparing conventional and molecular identification.<sup>9,20</sup>

The technologist can be faced with the problem of low discriminative identification even while using microscopy as a supplementary test.<sup>22</sup> This presents difficulty in identifying them by conventional methods.<sup>20,21</sup> Although such strains are not frequently encountered in the clinical laboratory, their mis-identification can have serious consequences on therapeutic decisions as several of these rare species may be resistant to fluconazole or amphotericin B, the primary drugs used for treating serious fungal infections.<sup>9</sup> In cases where definitive phenotypic identification is not possible or the microscopic and biochemical features do not match, molecular identification can help resolve the conflict.<sup>9</sup>

Certain yeasts cannot be satisfactorily identified using conventional methods and will require molecular identification techniques. These include *C.metapsilosis*, *C.orthopsilosis*, *C.viswanathii*, *C.fabianii* and novel isolates because their profile numbers are not yet included in the chemical profile databases.<sup>20,23</sup>

An important finding highlighting the merits of the Luminex xMAP system is the detection of mixed cultures that are missed by conventional methods. Two of our *C.albicans* isolates, both from the same patient, were thought to be pure. When xMAP was performed on the isolate, it showed a mixed identification of *C.albicans* and *C.glabrata* for both specimens. Hence *C.glabrata*, a yeast less biochemically active, not forming pseudohyphae and in low numbers, was not detected when mixed with *C.albicans*. This advantage of Luminex xMAP system has previously been described by other studies.<sup>12,16</sup>

With the advent of new molecular diagnostics, several highly efficient and accurate rapid methods for identification of micro-organisms are now available, such as Luminex xMAP system, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) assay, and real-time PCRs.<sup>16,24,25</sup> What remains to be seen is whether investing in any of these advanced techniques will be cost effective for the clinical laboratory.

### Conclusion

Conventional identification of yeasts can be considered adequate for identifying species commonly encountered in clinical specimens, though it may require more technological expertise. Molecular identification methods may be more expensive, but are more accurate. Continued surveillance of the spectrum of invasive yeasts can help determine whether it will be worthwhile to invest in molecular identification methods in clinical microbiology laboratories.

### Acknowledgement

The study was financially supported by the Joint Pakistan-US Academic and Research Programme HEC/MoST/USAID. We would like to acknowledge the technical expertise and support of Eszter Deak, Joyce Petersen and Lalitha Gade at the Mycotic Diseases Branch, Centres for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta Georgia, USA. We are grateful to Syed Iqbal Azam, Assistant Professor, Community Health Sciences, Aga Khan University, Karachi, for his guidance in statistical analysis.

### References

1. Colombo AL, Nucci M, Park BJ, Nouer SA, Arthington-Skaggs B, da Matta DA, et al. Epidemiology of candidemia in Brazil: a nationwide sentinel surveillance of candidemia in eleven medical centers. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006; 44: 2816-23.
2. Kontoyiannis DP, Marr KA, Park BJ, Alexander BD, Anaissie EJ, Walsh TJ, et al. Prospective surveillance for invasive fungal infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients, 2001-2006: overview of the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network (TRANSNET) Database. *Clin Infect Dis*; 50: 1091-100.
3. Taur Y, Cohen N, Dubnow S, Paskovaty A, Seo SK. Effect of antifungal therapy timing on mortality in cancer patients with candidemia. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*; 54: 184-90.
4. Hassan I, Powell G, Sidhu M, Hart WM, Denning DW. Excess mortality, length of stay and cost attributable to candidaemia. *J Infect* 2009; 59: 360-5.
5. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Benjamin DK, Jr., Calandra TF, Edwards JE, Jr., et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; 48: 503-35.

6. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2007; 20: 133-63.
7. Liu CY, Liao CH, Chen YC, Chang SC. Changing epidemiology of nosocomial bloodstream infections in 11 teaching hospitals in Taiwan between 1993 and 2006. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect*; 43: 416-29.
8. Pincus DH, Orenga S, Chatellier S. Yeast identification—past, present, and future methods. *Med Mycol* 2007; 45: 97-121.
9. Cendejas-Bueno E, Gomez-Lopez A, Mellado E, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. Identification of pathogenic rare yeast species in clinical samples: comparison between phenotypical and molecular methods. *J Clin Microbiol*; 48: 1895-9.
10. Das S, Brown TM, Kellar KL, Holloway BP, Morrison CJ. DNA probes for the rapid identification of medically important *Candida* species using a multianalyte profiling system. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 2006; 46: 244-50.
11. Diaz MR, Fell JW. High-throughput detection of pathogenic yeasts of the genus *trichosporon*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004; 42: 3696-706.
12. Page BT, Shields CE, Merz WG, Kurtzman CP. Rapid identification of ascomycetous yeasts from clinical specimens directly by a molecular method based on flow cytometry and comparison with identifications from phenotypic assays. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006; 44: 3167-71.
13. Nadeem SG, Hakim ST, Kazmi SU. Use of CHROMagar *Candida* for the presumptive identification of *Candida* species directly from clinical specimens in resource-limited settings. *Libyan J Med* 2010; 5: 2144-9.
14. Sheppard DC, Rene P, Harris AD, Miller MA, Laverdiere M, deSouza E, et al. Simple strategy for direct identification of medically important yeast species from positive blood culture vials. *J Clin Microbiol* 1999; 37: 2040-1.
15. Willemsen M, Breynaert J, Lauwers S. Comparison of Auxacolor with API 20 C Aux in yeast identification. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 1997; 3: 369-75.
16. Deak E, Etienne KA, Lockhart SR, Gade L, Chiller T, Balajee SA. Utility of a Luminex-based assay for multiplexed, rapid species identification of *Candida* isolates from an ongoing candidemia surveillance. *Canadian J Microbiol* 56: 348-51.
17. Falagas ME, Roussos N, Vardakas KZ. Relative frequency of albicans and the various non-albicans *Candida* spp among candidemia isolates from inpatients in various parts of the world: a systematic review. *Int J Infect Dis*; 14: e954-66.
18. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Gibbs DL, Newell VA, Ellis D, Tullio V, et al. Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Study, 1997 to 2007: a 10.5-year analysis of susceptibilities of *Candida* Species to fluconazole and voriconazole as determined by CLSI standardized disk diffusion. *J Clin Microbiol*; 48: 1366-77.
19. Garnacho-Montero J, Diaz-Martin A, Garcia-Cabrera E, Ruiz Perez de Pipoan M, Hernandez-Caballero C, Aznar-Martin J, et al. Risk factors for fluconazole-resistant candidemia. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*; 54: 3149-54.
20. Meletiadiis J, Arabatzis M, Bompola M, Tsiveriotis K, Hini S, Petinaki E, et al. Comparative evaluation of three commercial identification systems using common and rare bloodstream yeast isolates. *J Clin Microbiol*; 49: 2722-7.
21. Latouche GN, Daniel HM, Lee OC, Mitchell TG, Sorrell TC, Meyer W. Comparison of use of phenotypic and genotypic characteristics for identification of species of the anamorph genus *Candida* and related teleomorph yeast species. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997; 35: 3171-80.
22. Garcia-Martos P, Mira J, Galan F, Hernandez JM. Sexual forms of yeasts in clinical samples. *Mycopathologia* 1996; 136: 67-70.
23. Borman AM, Linton CJ, Miles SJ, Johnson EM. Molecular identification of pathogenic fungi. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; 61 Suppl 1: i7-12.
24. Khan Z, Mustafa AS, Alam FF. Real-time LightCycler polymerase chain reaction and melting temperature analysis for identification of clinically important *Candida* spp. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect* 2009; 42: 290-5.
25. Dhiman N, Hall L, Wohlfiel SL, Buckwalter SP, Wengenack NL. Performance and cost analysis of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for routine identification of yeast. *J Clin Microbiol*; 49: 1614-6.