

Is it possible to reduce rates of placenta praevia?

Sheena Memon,¹ Kaweeta Kumari,² Haleema Yasmin,³ Sheerin Bhutta⁴

Aga Khan University, Garden,¹ Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre, Karachi.²⁻⁴

Abstract

Objective: To determine factors responsible for rising rates of placenta praevia.

Methods: This comparative study was performed at Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Centre Karachi, (Group-A) from September 2000 to February 2002 and (Group-B) from January 2008 to January 2009. All women with major degree of placenta praevia diagnosed on ultrasound who came in emergency or through out patient department were included in the study. Patients with mild degree of placenta praevia were excluded. Group A had 100 patients and Group B, 58 patients. Chi-Square test was used for comparison of previous study and current study.

Results: The number of unbooked cases in both groups A and B was high (A=76%, B=62%). Most patients were grandmultipara (A=41%, B=34%) with ages ranging from 31-35 years (A=36%, B=43%). Even primigravida had a major degree of placenta praevia (A=17%, B=7%).

There was a significant difference in two groups in term of previous caesarean section (A=12%, B=38%). Association of placenta praevia following miscarriages was also noted (A=41%, B=29%). Placenta accrete were noted in two cases in group B, both required obstetrical hysterectomies. The results revealed a favourable foetal out come in both groups, A= 93 (93%), B=55 (95%).

Conclusion: With rising rate of previous caesarean sections over an eight year period from 12% to 38% the frequency of placenta praevia has increased. Most patients continue to present as unbooked cases in emergency, therefore the associated morbidity due to haemorrhage remains high. Therefore efforts should be made to avoid primary caesarean section where possible. In addition antenatal care and timely diagnosis of placenta praevia on ultrasound can decrease the associated morbidity (JPMA 60:566; 2010).

Introduction

Haemorrhage whether antepartum, postpartum, due to abortion or ectopic pregnancy remains one of the major killers of child bearing women all over the world.¹

According to the report of millennium Developmental Goals in Pakistan (Nov 2007) maternal mortality ratio per 100,000 live births is 320 and the target achievable ratio is 140 by 2015.²

Placenta praevia is a major cause of third trimester haemorrhage complicating between 0.3% and 0.5% of pregnancies and accounting for significant maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality.^{3,4} It occurs in 2.8/1000 singleton pregnancies and 3.9/1000 twin pregnancies.⁵

Although the etiology of placenta praevia remains speculative, several risk factors associated with this condition have been established. These include advanced maternal age, multiparity, multiple gestations, previous abortion and placenta praevia in previous pregnancy.³ Myometrial damage due to caesarean section and dilatation and curettage are main predisposing factors.⁶

The traditional classification of placenta praevia describes the degree to which the placenta encroaches upon the cervix in labour and is divided into low lying, marginal, partial

or complete placenta praevia.⁵ In recent years due to the increased prognostic value of Transvaginal ultrasound in diagnosis of placenta praevia this traditional classification is rendered obsolete.⁵ Diagnosis is made on history, clinical examination and few investigations which includes ultrasound (Transabdominal, Transvaginal) and Magnetic resonance imaging.⁷ Accuracy rate for transvaginal ultrasound is high (sensitivity 87.5% and specificity 98.8%). Sixty percent of placenta praevia diagnosed on transabdominal ultrasound have been reclassified on Transvaginal ultrasound due to poor visualization of posterior placenta, obesity and bladder filling.⁵

Caesarean section rates have continued to rise globally as well as nationally. Placenta praevia too is a recognized condition leading to maternal mortality and morbidity. Whereas there is evidence available to suggest the great occurrence of placenta praevia where the lower uterine segment is scarred, there is dearth of local literature to corroborate this.

This comparative study over two different time periods was done to look for factors responsible for rising rates of placenta praevia and consequent mortality and morbidity. This would enable the gynaecologists to recommend strategies reduce the incidence of this high risk cause of blood loss.

Methods

It was a case series enrolling two sets of patients in two different time periods at Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center. Non-probability sample technique was used. Study population comprised of 100 patients in group A (from September 2000 to February 2002) and 58 patients in group B (January 2008 to January 2009). All patients at 28 or more weeks booked or unbooked with or without caesarean section whether presented in emergency or outpatient department with painless vaginal bleeding due to placenta praevia type III-IV, diagnosed on ultrasound were included in the Study. Patients who presented with minor degree placenta praevia or abruption placenta were excluded from the study.

A detailed history of these patients including age, parity, last menstrual period and expected date of confinement, history of abortion, with or without

Relevant investigations including complete blood count and blood group and Rh factor were done. Ultrasound for foetal wellbeing, gestational age and placental localization was performed. Informed consent and counselling of spouses was accomplished. The timing and mode of delivery was determined in accordance with these.

Data feeding and statistical analysis was done on software SPSS version 10. The results are given in the text as number and percentages for qualitative data i.e. antenatal booking status, age and gestational age group, parity, associated risk factors, foetal outcome etc. Chi-square test was used to compare previous and the current study. In all the statistical analysis, p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

It was a comparative study which enrolled patients in two different time periods. Group A comprised of 100 patients, from-September 2000 to February 2002. These were compared with (58 patients Group B) from January

Table-1: Maternal characteristics and associated risk factors.

	Previous Study (Thesis base n=100) Year 2000-2002		Current Study (n=58) Year 2008		P-Value
	No.	%	No.	%	
Age in years					
18 - 25	11	11	12	21	0.095
26 - 30	26	26	16	28	0.828
31 - 35	36	36	25	43	0.377
36 - 45	27	27	5	9	0.006
Gestational Age (weeks)					
30 - 32	3	3	3	5	-
33 - 34	10	10	6	10	0.945
35 - 36	34	34	10	17	0.023
37 - 40	53	53	39	67	0.080
Parity					
Primipara	17	17	4	7	0.071
Multipara	38	38	17	29	0.269
Grand multipara	41	41	20	34	0.417
Previous abortion	4	4	17	29	0.001
Associated risk factors					
Previous Caesarean	12	12	22	38	0.001
Previous D & E	13	13	8	14	0.887
Previous Hysterectomy					
Due to Postpartum haemorrhage	1	1	0	-	-
Grand multiparity	41	41	20	34	0.417
No risk factors found	33	33	8	14	0.008

dilatation and curettage, history of caesarean section or myomectomy or history of placenta praevia in previous pregnancy was taken. History of bleeding including its mode of onset and association with pain was also asked. When available ultrasound findings at a specific gestational age were also considered. History was followed by general physical examination with special reference to vital signs and obstetrical examination.

2008 to January 2009. The maternal risk factors and associated risk factors are shown in Table-1.

Both Groups A and B, had a high number of unbooked patients. (A=76/100 (76%) and B=36/58 (62%) (P = 0.063). Majority of patients in the study were between the age range of 31-35 years (A=36 (36%) and B=25 (43%) (P = 0.377). Grandmultipara were 41 (41%) in Group A and

Table-2: Antenatal booking status, number of fetus and fetal outcome.

	Previous Study (Thesis base n=100) Year 2000-2002		Current Study (n=58) Year 2008		P-Value
	No.	%	No.	%	
Booking Status					
Booked	24	24	22	38	0.063
Non booked	76	76	36	62	
Number of fetus					
Singleton	98	98	57	98	0.618
Twins	2	2	1	2	-
Fetal Outcome					
Alive	93	93	55	95	0.907
FSB	6	6	3	5	-
MSB	1	1	0	0	-
NND	17	17	7	12	0.405

FSB=Fetal still birth. MSB=Macerated still birth. NND=Neonatal death.

20 (34%) in Group B (P = 0.417). Primigravida with placenta praevia were 17 (17%) in Group A and 4 (7%) in Group B (P = 0.071).

Previous caesarean section, (A=12/100(12%), B=22/58(38%) (P = 0.001) indicates that placenta praevia is seen more frequently in cases of previous caesarean sections. A definite association of placenta praevia following miscarriages was observed (A=4%, B=29%) (P = 0.001). With other confounding variables, although rare, placenta accreta carries a high mortality. This study had 2 cases of placenta accreta in GroupB while none in Group A. Both ended as caesarean hysterectomies with a favourable foetal and maternal out come. There was no maternal death in either of the two groups.

Results showed a favourable foetal out come in both groups (A= 93(93%), B=55 (95%) (P = 0.907) (Table-2).

Discussion

Millennium development Goal 5 aims to improve maternal health. It was translated into two targets: to reduce maternal mortality by three quarters between 1990-2005 and to achieve universal coverage of skilled care at births by 2015.⁸

According to report of millennium development Goals⁵ in Pakistan (2007), Pakistan has a 6th highest number of maternal deaths around 300-400 deaths per 100,000 births.² Achieving millennium development Goal 5 requires reducing maternal mortality at a faster rate in the future than the reduction rate between 1990-2005. Over 80% of maternal deaths are due to direct causes. Haemorrhage is one of the major killers,⁹ not only in Pakistan, as its prevalence in Asian population is 0.64%.¹⁰ It is also associated with foetal morbidity and mortality. The study reveals that antepartum haemorrhage due to placenta praevia was more common in mothers who had no antenatal visits as compared to those

who availed effective antenatal care and had prenatal diagnosis of placenta praevia (Group A= 76/100 and Group B=36/58) This is in contrast to a study carried out at Lahore General Hospital where antenatal booked patients were dominant.⁷ In Pakistan 50% of adult population is illiterate, and just over a third of adult females are literate compared to nearly two thirds of men.² This shows lack of awareness of antenatal care due to illiteracy especially in Sindh which ranks second in literacy in Pakistan.²

Our study analysis of age and parity showed that the frequency of placenta praevia increased across the entire maternal age and multiparity which is consistent with studies done in other countries.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Our results are comparable to a study conducted at Khyber teaching hospital, Peshawar where majority of the patients were 35 years of age.^{15,16} Grandmultipara outnumber in our study which is comparable to a study carried out at Quaid-e-Azam Medical college, Bahawalpur which showed 68% patients to be Grandmultipara.¹⁶ This is in contrast to other studies where majority of the patients were multipara.^{15,17} Primigravida also had a major degree of placenta praevia which is comparable to a study where 10% patients were primigravida.¹⁸

Obstetricians should be prepared to face placenta praevia/accrete which are the late consequences of caesarean section. Our study analysis, comparing the risk of placenta praevia/accrete with unscarred uterus, showed an increased frequency in the scarred uterus, which is consistent with study by Sinha, et al.¹⁹ Another study has predicted that if primary and secondary caesarean rates continue to rise, by 2020, the caesarean delivery rate will be 46.2% and there will be an additional 3728 placenta praevia.²⁰

In contrast to Group-A = 12/100, in Group-B = 22/58 of placenta praevia cases were associated with previous caesarean section. Our results are also comparable to a study carried out at Lahore General Hospital where increased frequency of placenta praevia was found following rising

caesarean rates,⁷ signifying that rising caesarean rate has a dominant role in the etiopathogenesis of placenta praevia. This is comparable to other studies which have similar conclusions.^{7,15,19,20}

Dilatation and curettage or vacuum aspiration may cause scarring and adhesion in the uterus that impedes proper placentation in subsequent pregnancies. In our study A and B analysis both indicates association of placenta praevia with previous abortion.

Favourable foetal outcome was seen in both groups reflective of prompt appropriate management in this population of largely unbooked Emergency admissions.

Conclusion

This study concludes that efforts should be made to reduce the rates of operative deliveries, because there is a greater likelihood of placenta praevia in scarred uterus in a subsequent pregnancy.

The morbidity associated with Placenta praevia can be reduced by detecting the condition in the antenatal period by ultrasound before it becomes symptomatic. This calls for educating our patients and make them aware of the importance of antenatal care and making it available. Timely access to Comprehensive Emergency Obstetric care (Emoc) facilitation can in future reduce the associated morbidity and mortality. This can be achieved by allocation of appropriate resources and creating awareness about the importance of accessing skilled care during pregnancies and childbirth.

References

1. Pal SA. Haemorrhage and maternal morbidity and mortality in Pakistan. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2007; 57: 576-7.
2. Pakistan and Millennium development goals. (On line) 2007 (Cited 2009 Feb 27). Available from URL: <http://www.dfid.uk/countries/asia/Pakistan/mdgs.pdf>.
3. Hung TH, Hsieh CC, Hsu JJ, Chiu TH, Lo LM, Hsieh TT. Risk factors for placenta praevia in an Asian population. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2007; 97: 26-30.
4. Oyclese Y, Smulian JC. Placenta praevia, placenta accreta, and Vasa praevia. *Obstet Gynaecol* 2006; 107: 927-41.
5. Oppenheimer L. Society of obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada. Diagnosis and management of placenta praevia. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 2007; 29: 261-73.
6. Palaccos- Jaraguemada JM. Diagnosis and management of placenta accreta. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2008; 22: 1133-48.
7. Razia A, Alyia B, Asma G, Rabia N, Chohan A. Frequency of Placenta praevia with previous caesarean section. *Ann King Edward Med Coll* 2005; 11: 299-300.
8. WHO Millennium development Goal 5: Improve maternal health. Geneva: WHO, 2009.
9. Jafarey S, Kamal I, Qureshi AF, Fikree F. Safe mother hood in Pakistan. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2008; 102: 179-85.
10. KIM L, Caughey A, Escobar G. Racial and Ethnic difference in the prevalence of placenta praevia. *Am J obstet Gynaecol* 2008; 199 (6 Suppl 1): S105.
11. Abu-Hejja-AT, EL-Jallad-F, Ziadeh-S. Placenta praevia: effect of age, gravidity, parity and previous caesarean section. *Gynaecol Obsete Invest* 1999; 47: 6-8.
12. Faiz AS, Ananth CV. Etiology and risk factors for placenta praevia: an over view and meta - analysis of observational studies. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2003; 13: 175-90.
13. Ananth CV, Demissie K, Smulian JC, Vintzileos AM. Placenta praevia in singleton and twin births in United State, 1989 through 1998: a comparison of risk factor profile and associated conditions. *Am J obstet Gynaecol* 2003; 188: 275-81.
14. Johnson LG, Mueller BA, Daling JR. The relation ship of placenta praevia and history of induced abortion. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2003; 81: 191-8.
15. Nasreen F. Incidence, causes and outcome of Placenta praevia. *J Postgrad Med Inst* 2003; 17: 99-104.
16. Zaman BS, Zubair A, Bhatti SZ, Malik MZ. Effect of placenta praevia on fetal and maternal morbidity / mortality. *Ann King Edward Med Coll* 2005; 11: 205-7.
17. Sakornbut E, Lecman L, Fontaine P. Late pregnancy bleeding. *Am Fam Physican* 2007; 75: 1199-206.
18. Malik AM, Saddique S, Shah IA. Placenta praevia, a study to determine responsible factors. *Professional Med J* 2007; 14: 407-10.
19. Sinha P, Oniya O, Bewley S. Coping with placenta praevia and accreta in a DGH setting and words of caution. *J Obstet Gynaecol* 2005; 25: 334-8.
20. Solheim K, Little S, Esakoff T, Cheng Y, Sparks T, Caughey A. How will increases in cesarean rates affect the incidence of placenta praevia, placenta accreta, and maternal death in feature years. *Am J Obstet Gynaecol* 2008; 199 (6 Suppl 1): S88.