

Endogenous methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus as a source of post-operative surgical site infections

Umme Farwa¹, Irum Aftab², Fatima Kaleem³, Mehnaz Khattak⁴, Mariam Danish Iqbal⁵, Tariq Butt⁶, Shahid Ahmad Abbasi⁷

Abstract

Objective: To determine frequency of Endogenous Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in pre-operative patients and its frequency in Surgical Site Infections (SSIs) post operatively.

Methods: It was a descriptive cross sectional conducted at Department of Microbiology, Fauji Foundation Hospital Rawalpindi (FFH), Pakistan. Samples were collected from 1st November-31st May 2018. Total 75 samples were collected during the period. Consecutive non-probability sampling technique was utilized. Specimens were collected from nose, axilla and groin of preoperative patients. Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* was identified if only isolated from these sites. Patients were followed till his/her discharge from the hospital and if they developed infection post operatively, pus specimen from infected site was also collected and identified.

Results: Out of 75 specimen preoperatively, 11(14.7%) were identified as endogenous MRSA. From these, 33(44%) developed Surgical site infections (SSIs), among them 19(57%) were MRSA (09 endogenous, 10 exogenous), 7(21.2%) were *Escherichia coli*, 3(9.1%) were *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, 3(9.1%) were *Enterococcus faecalis* and 1(3%) was Methicillin Sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Conclusion: The results of this study determined that Endogenous Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) could be isolated from patients going for surgery if microbiological screening was done at the time of admission. This could prevent patients from Surgical Site Infection Post operatively by these endogenous MRSA. This search and wipe out strategy is able to curtail the events of outbreak, reduce hospital stay and decrease budget of the hospital by providing guidance in choice of empirical therapy for infection.

Keywords: Endogenous MRSA, Surgical site infection, Surveillance, Antibiotics resistance. (JPMA 71: 94; 2021)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.649>

Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus is capable of establishing a broad spectrum of interactions with human. It can be a part of the normal flora and cause a variety of illnesses ranging from mild infections compromising skin, soft-tissues to severe diseases such as necrotizing pneumonia, bacteraemia, osteomyelitis, toxic shock syndrome, urinary tract infections and surgical site infections (SSIs).¹

Staphylococcus aureus acquires resistance to many antimicrobial agents. The first isolation of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) was reported in 1960.² Since then, the occurrence of MRSA in SSIs is increasing³ and accounts for 24.45% of SSI in general hospitals.¹ An increasing cases of SSIs produced by MRSA acquired in the community have been reported. They were called as community associated methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (CA MRSA). These can be prevented by antibiotic prophylaxis as bacteria implicated in SSIs include those, which are conceded by patients themselves (endogenous flora), or those that might be acquired in the operating room

(exogenous flora), which are known as hospital associated methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (HA MRSA).⁴ Infection caused by microorganisms from endogenous source is more common than an external source following surgery.⁵ Recent studies have suggested that these endogenous MRSA might be encroaching on hospital settings⁶ and significant proportion of them are caused by the same strain that colonizes in the nasal mucosa.⁷

Epidemiologic and molecular typing methods exist for classifying CA MRSA infections and HA MRSA. In addition, phenotypic methods (e.g., using antibiotic susceptibility and biochemical testing) can be used to identify MRSA strain type.⁸

Endogenous MRSA could be easily prevented by eliminating their carriage through proper targeted screening and source control. This would not only decrease burden of disease but also have a significant impact on hospital budgets and patient's health, their diagnosis must be anticipated and therapy improved. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the frequency of colonized MRSA in patient's different body areas like nose, axilla and inguinal region pre operatively and post-operative if they are isolated as a source of wound infection.

¹⁻⁴Foundation University Medical College, Islamabad, Pakistan; ⁵Shalamar Institute of Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan; ⁶Islamic International Medical and Dental College, Islamabad, Pakistan; ⁷Fauji Foundation Hospital, Pakistan.

Correspondence: Umme Farwa e-mail: farwaimran@hotmail.com

Methods

The study was carried out at Department of Microbiology, FFH from 1st November 2018 to 31st May 2019. After taking consent, a total of 75 swabs were included in the study. Keeping the Confidence level 95%, anticipated population proportion for MRSA in SSIs 0.25.¹ Sample size was calculated by WHO calculator.⁹ The samples were taken from Nose, axilla and Inguinal area of the patients who reported in outdoor clinics for admission for surgery in different wards of FFH, Rawalpindi like Gynecology, General surgery, Urology and Neurosurgery, and MRSA was identified if isolated from these sites. These isolate were labeled and stored for further assessment. The patients were followed till his/her discharge from the hospital and if patient developed infection post operatively within his stay in the hospital, pus specimen from infected site was collected and MRSA, if present, was identified. If MRSA was identified preoperatively, both the isolates were assessed whether they are the same strains. All duplicate samples, patients already on treatment or taken antibiotics within last 48hour were excluded from study.

We applied phenotypic method to assess strain similarity, which included extended antibiogram and extended biochemical tests. If both the strains were found similar, then the source of post-operative MRSA infection was labeled as endogenous. Clinical samples were inoculated on Blood and MacConkey's agar and incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24h. *Staphylococcus aureus* was identified by its colony morphology, positive Gram reaction, positive catalase and coagulase tests.¹⁰ Methicillin resistance of isolated Staphylococci was detected by agar disk-diffusion method (Kirby-Bauer) using a 30µg cefoxitin disk, according to the guidelines by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (CLSI).¹¹ According to CLSI, a zone diameter of ≤ 21mm was taken as resistant and a zone diameter of ≥22 mm as susceptible. Susceptibility to other antimicrobial agents was determined by the disk diffusion method in accordance with CLSI. The other antibiotics tested included Penicillin 10µg, Ciprofloxacin 5µg, Doxycycline 30µg, Gentamicin 10µg, Rifampicin 5µg, Linezolid 30µg, Chloramphenicol 300µg, Cotrimoxazole 25µg, Erythromycin 15µg, and Clindamycin 2µg (Oxoid Ltd). E-strip was used to assess susceptibility of Vancomycin as recommended by CLSI. *S. aureus* strain ATCC 25913 was used as quality control.

Data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 22. Descriptive analysis was done for qualitative and quantitative variables. Frequency and percentages were calculated for qualitative variables

like type of wards, gender, growth positive and negative cultures of MRSA isolates, SSIs and post-operative MRSA. In-vitro efficacy of antibiotics was calculated as frequency percentages of sensitive and resistant organisms. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for quantitative variables like age of patients.

All ethical considerations and obligations were duly addressed and the study was conducted after approval of ethical committee.

Results

Total 75 patients were included according to the inclusion criteria of the study. Descriptive statistics of age (years) of patient was also calculated in terms of mean and standard deviation. Mean age (years) in the study was 45.63±17.81 with ranges from 18 to 75 years. Distribution of gender of patient was also calculated in terms of frequency and percentage of male and female patients. There were 30(40%) male and 45(60%) female patients who were included in the study according to inclusion criteria.

There were 11(14.7%) cases of Endogenous MRSA in preoperative patients. Out of 75 cases, there were 19(25.3%) cases of cultural growth positive of MRSA postoperatively, which included 9 endogenous and 10 exogenous MRSA. Whereas, frequencies of postoperative surgical site infections were 33(44%) cases out of 75, which included 19(57.6%) MRSA and 14 other organisms like, 3(9.1%) *Enterococcus*, 7(21.2%) *E.coli*, 3(9.1%) *Klebsiella* and 1(3%) Methicillin sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA). (Table: 1)

Ward wise distribution of MRSA showed that Gynaecology has the highest rate of Surgical Sites Infections 6(18.1%) followed by orthopaedics 5(15.1%) & general surgery 4(12.1%) respectively. (Table: 2).

Table-1: Frequency of Endogenous MRSA in Preoperative /Post Operative patients (n=75).

Sr.No		n (%)
1.	Endogenous MRSA in Pre-operative patients	11 (14.7)
2.	Frequency of cultural growth positive MRSA Post operatively out of total samples	19 (25.3)
	Endogenous MRSA	9
	Exogenous MRSA	10
3.	Frequency of Post-operatively SSIs	33 (44)

Table-2: Wards wise distribution of MRSA in SSIs.

Wards	Frequency of sample	MRSA in SSIs	Non MRSA in SSIs	Total SSIs
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
	75 (100)	19 (57.6)	14 (42.4)	33 (44)
Gynaecology	18 (24.0)	6 (18.1)	4 (12.1)	10 (30.3)
Neuro surgery	10 (13.3)	1 (3.0)	-	1 (3.0)
Urology	16 (21.3)	3 (9.0)	3 (9.0)	6 (18.1)
General surgery	17 (22.6)	4 (12.1)	4 (12.1)	8 (24.2)
Orthopaedics	14 (18.6)	5 (15.1)	3 (9.0)	8 (24.2)

Table-3: Antibiotic resistance pattern of Endogenous & Exogenous MRSA.

Antibiotics	Endogenous MRSA (Pre OP) (n= 11) n (%)		Endogenous MRSA (Post OP) (n=9) n (%)		Exogenous MRSA (Post OP) (n=10) n (%)	
	Resistance	Sensitive	Resistance	Sensitive	Resistance	Sensitive
Penicillin	10 (90)	1 (10)	8 (90)	1 (10)	10 (100)	-
Ciprofloxacin	7 (63)	4 (37)	6 (66)	3 (34)	9 (90)	1 (10)
Doxycycline	1 (9)	10 (91)	1 (11)	8 (89)	3 (30)	7 (70)
Gentamicin	4 (36)	7 (64)	3 (33)	6 (67)	6 (60)	4 (40)
Rifampicin	1 (9)	10 (91)	1 (11)	8 (89)	2 (20)	8 (80)
Linezolid	-	11 (100)	-	9 (100)	-	10 (100)
Chloramphenicol	3 (27)	8 (73)	2 (22)	7 (77)	4 (40)	6 (60)
Co-trimoxazole	7 (63)	4 (37)	6 (66)	3 (34)	9 (90)	1 (10)
Erythromycin	7 (63)	4 (37)	6 (66)	3 (34)	9 (90)	1 (10)
Clindamycin	3 (27)	8 (73)	2 (22)	7 (77)	3 (30)	7 (70)
Vancomycin	-	11 (100)	-	9 (100)	-	10 (100)

Susceptibility of Endogenous & Exogenous MRSA to various antibiotics is shown in (Table: 3)

Discussion

MRSA has ability to cause widespread diseases which range from soft tissue infection to more life threatening sepsis. Its remarkable resistance towards many drugs and the deadly outcome of its infections has become a big problem.^{12,13} Surgical patients are at soaring threat of infection if they are colonized with MRSA or if MRSA is inoculated into their surgical wound by unhygienic hands or instruments.¹⁴

Mean age (years) in this study was 45.63 ± 17.81 with ranges from 18 to 75 years. A high proportion of patients in our study were observed from old age. Garcia supported our results regarding higher susceptibility in old age and recommended age as considerable factor in antibiotic selection.¹⁵ Comparable findings were of Naz R et al,¹⁶ who reported that peak incidence of SSI was observed in age group greater than 45 years. However, Ajao et al concluded that age does not bear a major impact in MRSA infections.¹⁷

The collected samples in our study had a slightly higher proportion (60%) of female patients. This is incongruity with previous study conducted on the subject within the country, which observed proportion of samples collected from male patients as 58%.¹⁸ A higher infection rate in male population was also observed in other countries as well for example in Poland.¹⁹ The main reason behind this discrepancy is basically FFH is a welfare organization for families of retired army personal.

MRSA (57.6%) was the predominant isolate from surgical site infections from our hospital, which is comparable to other local studies.^{5,12} as well as study from India.^{1,20} The predominance of MRSA seen in our study is related with endogenous source as the organism is a member of normal skin and nasal flora of the patients. Extended antibiogram

showed that there were 9 (27.2) MRSA out of 19 (57.6) causing postoperative SSIs having the same antibiogram as the endogenous MRSA isolated preoperatively from same patients. These could be easily prevented by stringent surveillance and preoperative antibiotics. Extended antibiogram was also used by Yang from University of California, USA which suggested that difference in sensitivity could be used to label CA- MRSA and HA- MRSA.²¹ While a study from

Uruguay proved this by using genotyping and Pulse Field Gel Electrophoresis along with antibiogram, which is the limitation of this study.²²

In our study, out of 75 cases majority of MRSA were from obstetrics & gynaecology (18.1%) followed by orthopaedics (15.1%) and surgery department (12.1%). These results are comparable to studies from neighboring country⁵ as well as local study from Karachi.²³

Surgical Site Infections are the main contributors of health care associated infections. Rate of SSIs in our study was 33 out of 75, 44% which was in tandem with a local study carried out in Abbottabad, which showed an infection rate of 34% with 32 patients developing SSIs out of 95 patients.²⁴ But frequency of SSI varied from 2.5-41.9%.²⁵ Rate of SSIs is an important gauge of quality of surgical procedures in a hospital and it is different in different set-ups.

Conclusion

The results of this study determined that Endogenous Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) could be isolated from patients going for surgery if microbiological screening was done at the time of admission. This could prevent patients from Surgical Site Infection Post operatively by these endogenous MRSA. This search and wipe out strategy is able to curtail the events of outbreak, reduce hospital stay and decrease budget of the hospital by providing guidance in the choice of empirical therapy for infection.

Disclaimer: None.

Conflict of interest: None.

Funding disclosure: None.

References

- Bhattacharya S, Pal K, Jain S, Chatterjee SS, Konar J. Surgical Site Infection by Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus— on Decline? *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2016; 10: 32-6.
- Jevons MP. Celbenin-resistant staphylococci. *Br Med J.* 1961; 1:124-25.
- Iyamba JM, Wambale JM, Lukukula CM, zaBalegaTakaisi-Kikuni N. High prevalence of methicillin resistant staphylococci strains isolated from surgical site infections in Kinshasa. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2014; 18:322.
- World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines for Safe Surgery 2009: Safe Surgery Saves Lives. [Online] [Cited 2013 October 31]. Available from: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2009/9789241598552_eng.pdf. URL:
- Krishna S, Divya P, Shafiyabi S. Postoperative surgical wound infections with special reference to methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus: an experience from VIMS hospital, Ballari. *J Biosci Tech.* 2015; 6: 697-702.
- Susethira1 AR, Uma A, Prabhu1 N, Senthinath NJ, Kartheesan D. Management of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Infection of Endogenous Origin in an Electrical Burns Patient - A Case Report. *Br Microbiol Res J.* 2014; 4: 1138-41.
- Lee YJ, Chen JZ, Lin HC, Liu HY, Lin SY, Lin HH, et al. Impact of active screening for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and decolonization on MRSA infections, mortality and medical cost: a quasi-experimental study in surgical intensive care unit. *Crit Care.* 2015; 19: 143.
- Popovich K, Hota B, Rice T, Aroutcheva A, Weinstein RA. A phenotypic prediction rule for community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2007; 45:2293-5.
- <https://www.calculator.net/sample-size-calculator.html>.
- Hafeez A, Munir T, Rehman S, Najeeb S, Gilani M, et al. Comparative Efficacy of Ceftaroline with Linezolid against Staphylococcus Aureus and Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus. *JCPSP.* 2015; 25: 247-9.
- Khalid A, Usman J, Faiz U, Kaleem F, Hassan A. In-vitro susceptibility of linezolid against methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus at a tertiary care hospital in Pakistan. *Iran J Microbiol.* 2010; 2:143-6.
- Boutiba-Ben Boubaker I, Abbes RB, Abdallah HB, Mamlouk K, Mahjoubi F. Evaluation of a cefoxitin disk diffusion test for the routine detection of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2004; 10: 762-65.
- Foster TJ. Antibiotic resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Current status and future prospects. *Femsre.* 2017; 41:430-49.
- Lin F, Gillespie BM, Chaboyer W, Li Y, Whitelock K, Morley N, et al. preventing surgical site infections: Facilitators and barriers to nurses' adherence to clinical practice guidelines-A qualitative study. *J Clin Nurs.* 2019; 28:1643-52.
- Garcia A, Delorme T, Nasr P. Patient age as a factor of Antibiotic Resistance in Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *J Med Microbiol.* 2017; 66:1782-9.
- Naz R, Hussain SM, Ain QU. Bacteriological Profile of Surgical Site Infections and their Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern. *SSR Inst Int J Life Sci.* 2019; 5: 2224-29.
- Ajao AO, Harris AD, Johnson JK, Roghmann MC, Perencevich EN, Schweizer ML, et al. Association between Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus colonization and infection may not differ by age group. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2013; 34:93-5.
- Mahmood K, Tahir M, Jameel T, Ziauddin A, Aslam HF. Incidence of Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) causing nosocomial infection in a Tertiary Care Hospital. *Annals KEMU.* 2010;16: 91.
- Wesołowska MP, Róžańska A, Natkaniec J, Gryglewska B, Szczypka A, Dzikowska M, et al. Longevity and gender as the risk factors of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections in Southern Poland. *BMC Geriatr.* 2017; 17:51.
- Jain BK, Banerjee M. Surgical site infections and its risk factors in orthopaedics: a prospective study in teaching hospital of central India. *Int J Res Med.* 2013; 2:110-13.
- Yang ES, Tan J, Eells S, Rieg G, Tagudar G, Miller LG. Body site colonization in patients with community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and other types of S. aureus skin infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2010; 16:425-31.
- Pardo L, Machado V, Mollerach M, Mota MI, Tuchscherer LPN, Gadea P, et al. Characteristics of Community-Associated Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (CA-MRSA) Strains Isolated from Skin and Soft-Tissue Infections in Uruguay. *Int J Microbiol.* 2009.
- Mahmood A. Bacteriology of Surgical Site Infections and Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of the Isolates at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Karachi. *JPMA.* 2000; 50: 256-59.
- Sattar F, Sattar Z, Zaman M, Akbar S. Frequency of Post-operative Surgical Site Infections in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Abbottabad, Pakistan. *Cureus.* 2019; 11: e4243.
- Malik S, Gupta A, Singh PK, Agarwal J, Singh M. Antibiogram of aerobic bacterial isolates from post operative wound infections at a tertiary care hospital in India. *J Infect Dis Antimicrob Agents.* 2011; 28: 45-51.