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Acute intermittent porphyria (AIP) is iatrogenic, a disease of medical progress and development. Serious clinical manifestations are often precipitated by ingestion of prescribed drugs. Like syphilis or hysteria, AIP may be termed as “little imitator”. We are presenting the clinical spectrum of AIP, with a view to highlight the possible misdiagnoses and important management issues.

Patients, Methods and Results

A computer search for AIP patients was made from the medical records of cases admitted between January, 1991 and December, 1993. The charts of AIP patients were reviewed for presenting features, biochemical abnormalities and provisional diagnosis on first admission. There were 24 patients with a mean age of 32.4 years (range 16-54 years). The clinical characteristics were abdominal pain and vomiting in 24 (100%), mental confusion in 19 (79%) and constipation in 18 (75%) patients. Other presenting features were backache, diarrhoea, chest pain and unconsciousness in less than 20% cases. Common clinical signs were tachycardia in 21 (87.5%), fever in 17 (71%), dehydration in 17 (71%) and hypertension in 7 (29%) patients. Syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone (SIADH) secretion was present in 8 (33%) and depletional hyponatremia was present in 7 (29%) patients. There were on an average 1.8 hospital admissions before the diagnosis of AIP could be made. Only six (25%) patients were diagnosed on their first admission as suffering from AIP. The other diagnoses on first admission were acute encephalitis in eight (33.3%), intestinal obstruction due to antispasmodics in three (12%), faecal impaction in five (21%) and hysterical motor weakness in two (8%) patients.

Comments

The manifestations of AIP in the present series are comparable to the large series. The clinical features were mostly neurovisceral, highly variable and non-specific. This highlights the fact that AIP can be easily misdiagnosed and a high degree of clinical suspicion is required to make an early diagnosis.

Hyponatremia has come up as a special management problem in this review. It is recommended that before presuming the SIADH as the cause of hyponatremia, every attempt should be made to exclude any fluid and electrolytes loss causing hyponatremia so that the unnecessary water restriction be avoided. Another important point in the management is the screening of the family members to detect the carrier gene. These individuals are potentially at a high risk of developing an attack of AIP.

We conclude that AIP should remain as one of the differential diagnosis in the clinical spectrum and its management should be in the hands of expert professionals.
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